

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2019
or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-33304



FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

20-4075963
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

2000 University Ave., Suite 600
East Palo Alto, CA 94303

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Emerging growth company	<input type="checkbox"/>		

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

<u>Title of each class</u>	<u>Trading Symbol(s)</u>	<u>Name of each exchange on which registered</u>
Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share	FNJN	NASDAQ Capital Market

As of August 1, 2019, 27,625,204 shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, were outstanding.

FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements:	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2019 (unaudited) and December 31, 2018	1
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2019 and 2018 (unaudited)	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the three and six months ended June 30, 2019 and 2018 (unaudited)	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2019 and 2018 (unaudited)	4
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	6
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	25
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	33
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	33

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	34
Item 1A	Risk Factors	34
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	34
Item 3.	Defaults upon Senior Securities	34
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	34
Item 5.	Other Information	34
Item 6.	Exhibits	35

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Information

FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except shares and par value)

	June 30, 2019	December 31, 2018
	(Unaudited)	
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 26,939	\$ 32,011
Short term investments	15,673	11,303
Accounts receivable	—	2,550
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,039	6,580
Total current assets	44,651	52,444
Property and equipment, net	504	99
Investments	4,018	3,518
Intangible assets, net	4,529	5,507
Deferred income taxes	5,908	2,811
Right of use assets	2,481	—
Other assets, non-current	214	214
Total assets	\$ 62,305	\$ 64,593
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 4,549	\$ 4,394
Accounts payable - related parties	—	163
Accrued expenses	2,877	394
Lease liability	502	—
Other liabilities, current	1,500	1,500
Total current liabilities	9,428	6,451
Lease liability, non-current	2,059	—
Other liabilities, non-current	3,614	3,463
Total liabilities	15,101	9,914
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock - \$0.0001 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2019 and December 31, 2018	—	—
Common stock - \$0.0001 par value; 80,000,000 shares authorized; 27,610,520 and 27,568,656 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2019 and December 31, 2018, respectively	3	3
Additional paid-in capital	29,031	28,534
Retained earnings	18,170	26,142
Total stockholders' equity	47,204	54,679
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 62,305	\$ 64,593

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements

FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2019	2018	2019	2018
Revenues	\$ 7,250	\$ 17,300	\$ 7,250	\$ 82,300
Cost of revenues	928	1,601	928	14,601
Gross profit	6,322	15,699	6,322	67,699
Research and development expense	530	522	1,006	984
Selling, general and administrative expenses	8,643	5,868	16,588	14,175
Total operating expenses	9,173	6,390	17,594	15,159
Income (loss) from operations	(2,851)	9,309	(11,272)	52,540
Other income (expense)				
Change in fair value of warrant liability	—	(293)	—	(2,399)
Interest expense	(108)	(145)	(226)	(565)
Interest and other income	229	32	433	68
Income (loss) before income taxes	(2,730)	8,903	(11,065)	49,644
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(760)	1,864	(3,093)	13,387
Net income (loss)	(1,970)	7,039	(7,972)	36,257
Accretion of preferred stock	—	—	—	(925)
Net income (loss) to common stockholders	\$ (1,970)	\$ 7,039	\$ (7,972)	\$ 35,332
Net income (loss) per share, basic	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.26	\$ (0.29)	\$ 1.31
Net income (loss) per share, diluted	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.24	\$ (0.29)	\$ 1.21
Net income (loss) per share applicable to common stockholders, basic	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.26	\$ (0.29)	\$ 1.28
Net income (loss) per share applicable to common stockholders, diluted	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.24	\$ (0.29)	\$ 1.18
Weighted-average common shares outstanding, basic	27,609,068	27,503,356	27,601,760	27,610,924
Weighted-average common shares outstanding, diluted	27,609,068	29,737,679	27,601,760	30,010,329

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements

FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(In thousands, except shares data)
(Unaudited)

Three Months Ended June 30, 2019 and 2018

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Total
	Shares	Amount			
Balance - March 31, 2019	27,595,840	\$ 3	\$ 28,780	\$ 20,140	\$ 48,923
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	251	—	251
Exercise of RSUs	14,680	—	—	—	—
Net loss	—	—	—	(1,970)	(1,970)
Balance - June 30, 2019	<u>27,610,520</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$ 29,031</u>	<u>\$ 18,170</u>	<u>\$ 47,204</u>
Balance - March 31, 2018	27,719,828	\$ 3	\$ 22,361	\$ 37,324	\$ 59,688
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	435	—	435
Exercise of RSUs and stock options	139,588	—	209	—	209
Shares repurchased	(686,492)	—	—	(2,023)	(2,023)
ASC 606 adjustment	—	—	—	(680)	(680)
Net income	—	—	—	7,039	7,039
Balance - June 30, 2018	<u>27,172,924</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$ 23,005</u>	<u>\$ 41,660</u>	<u>\$ 64,668</u>

Six Months Ended June 30, 2019 and 2018

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Total
	Shares	Amount			
Balance - December 31, 2018	27,568,656	\$ 3	\$ 28,534	\$ 26,142	\$ 54,679
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	497	—	497
Exercise of RSUs	41,864	—	—	—	—
Net loss	—	—	—	(7,972)	(7,972)
Balance - June 30, 2019	<u>27,610,520</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$ 29,031</u>	<u>\$ 18,170</u>	<u>\$ 47,204</u>
Balance - December 31, 2017	27,707,328	\$ 3	\$ 22,968	\$ 5,555	\$ 28,526
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	753	—	753
Exercise of RSUs and stock options	152,088	—	209	—	209
Shares repurchased	(686,492)	—	—	(2,024)	(2,024)
Accretion of Series A-1 preferred stock	—	—	(925)	—	(925)
ASC 606 adjustment	—	—	—	1,872	1,872
Net income	—	—	—	36,257	36,257
Balance - June 30, 2018	<u>27,172,924</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$ 23,005</u>	<u>\$ 41,660</u>	<u>\$ 64,668</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements

FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2019	2018
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ (7,972)	\$ 36,257
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,012	803
Non-cash lease expense	241	—
Change in fair value of warrant liability	—	2,399
Stock-based compensation	497	753
Amortization of discount and premium on investments	(154)	—
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	2,550	6
Prepaid expenses and other assets	4,541	(34)
Deferred income taxes	(3,097)	2,605
Lease liability	(232)	—
Accounts payable	155	(1,710)
Accounts payable - related parties	(163)	(99)
Accrued expenses	2,483	593
Accrued income taxes	—	5,041
Other liabilities	222	486
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>83</u>	<u>47,100</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of patents	—	(1,000)
Purchase of fund investment	(500)	(550)
Purchase of marketable securities	(12,613)	—
Redemption of marketable securities	8,397	—
Leasehold improvements	(439)	—
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(5,155)</u>	<u>(1,550)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Repurchase of Finjan Holdings shares	—	(2,024)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	—	209
Redemption of preferred shares	—	(19,890)
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>—</u>	<u>(21,705)</u>
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	<u>(5,072)</u>	<u>23,845</u>
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning	<u>32,011</u>	<u>41,169</u>
Cash and cash equivalents - ending	<u>\$ 26,939</u>	<u>\$ 65,014</u>

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

Cash paid for income taxes	\$	—	\$	5,700
----------------------------	----	---	----	-------

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information, non-cash:

Accretion of series A-1 preferred stock to redemption value	\$	—	\$	925
Changes in accounts receivable, adoption of ASC 606	\$	—	\$	2,550
Changes in deferred tax, adoption of ASC 606	\$	—	\$	678

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements

FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1 – NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

NATURE OF OPERATIONS

Finjan Holdings, Inc. (the “Company” or “Finjan Holdings”), a Delaware corporation, and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Finjan, Finjan Blue and Finjan Mobile operates a cybersecurity business focused on three business lines: intellectual property licensing and enforcement, mobile security application development and investing in cybersecurity technologies and intellectual property. Licensing and enforcement of the Company's cybersecurity patent portfolio is operated through its wholly-owned subsidiaries Finjan and Finjan Blue. Revenues and operations are concentrated in Finjan; other subsidiaries were immaterial to the condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2019 and 2018. The Company's common stock has been trading on the NASDAQ Capital Market (“NASDAQ”) since May 2014.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared following the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), for interim reporting. As permitted under those rules, certain footnotes and other financial information that are normally required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”) can be condensed or omitted. The condensed consolidated balance sheet for the year ended December 31, 2018 was derived from the Company's audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by U.S. GAAP. The information included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2018 which were included in the annual report on Form 10-K filed by the Company on March 13, 2019.

In the opinion of management, these condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the annual consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of the Company and include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, considered necessary for the fair presentation of the Company's financial position and operating results. The results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2019 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the year ending December 31, 2019, or any other interim or future periods.

USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to stock-based compensation, investments, the determination of the economic useful life of property and equipment, income taxes and valuation allowances against net deferred tax assets. Management bases its estimates on historical experience or on various other assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Finjan Holdings and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

REVENUE RECOGNITION

Effective January 1, 2018, the Company adopted Accounting Standard Codification (“ASC”) Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (“Topic 606” or “ASC 606”), using the modified retrospective method applied to those contracts which were not completed as of January 1, 2018.

Revenue from the Company's cybersecurity business results from grants of licenses to its patented cybersecurity technology and settlements reached from legal enforcement of the Company's patent rights. Revenue is recognized when the arrangement with the licensee has been signed and the license has been delivered and made effective, provided the license fees are fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured.

The total amount of the consideration received upon any settlement or judgment is allocated to each element based on the fair value of each element. Elements provided in either settlement agreements or judgments include the value of a license, legal release and interest. Fair value of licensing agreements and royalty revenues are recognized as revenues in the condensed consolidated statement of operations. Elements not related to license agreements and royalty revenue in nature will be reflected in other income (expense), net in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Legal release as part of a settlement agreement is recognized as a separate line item in the condensed consolidated statements of operations when value can be allocated to the legal release. When the Company reaches a settlement with a defendant, no value is allocated to the legal release since the existence of a settlement removes legal standing to bring a claim of infringement, and without a legal claim, the legal release has no economic value. The element that is applicable to interest income will be recorded in other income (expense), net.

When settlements or judgments are achieved at discounts to the fair value of a license, the Company allocates the full settlement or judgment, excluding specifically named elements as mentioned above, to the value of the license agreement or royalty revenue under the residual method relative to full license fair value prior to the discount.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

The Company considers all highly liquid instruments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. Included in cash and cash equivalents are demand deposits and money market accounts.

SHORT TERM INVESTMENTS

Investments consist of U.S. Treasury Bills, which are classified as held-to-maturity, Certificates of Deposit and other Corporate Debt Securities. The Company determines the appropriate balance sheet classification of its investments at the time of purchase and evaluates the classification at each balance sheet date. All of the Company's investments mature within the next twelve months. Unrealized gains and losses are *de minimis*. As of June 30, 2019 and December 31, 2018, the carrying value of the Company's U.S. Treasury Bills approximates their fair value due to their short-term maturities.

NET INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE

Basic net income (loss) per common share is based upon the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted net income (loss) per common share is based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding and potentially dilutive common shares outstanding and computed as follows:

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2019	2018	2019	2018
(In thousands, except share and per share data)				
Numerator:				
Net income (loss) to common stockholders	\$ (1,970)	\$ 7,039	\$ (7,972)	\$ 35,332
Denominator:				
Weighted-average common shares, basic	27,609,068	27,503,356	27,601,760	27,610,924
Weighted-average common shares, diluted*	27,609,068	29,737,679	27,601,760	30,010,329
Net income (loss) per common share:				
Basic:	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.26	\$ (0.29)	\$ 1.28
Diluted:	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.24	\$ (0.29)	\$ 1.18

* For the three and six months ended June 30, 2019 the securities would be anti-dilutive and therefore were excluded. For the three months ended June 30, 2018, the diluted earnings per common share included 613,712 unvested RSU's and the weighted average effect of 1,620,611 stock options; for the six months ended June 30, 2018, the diluted earnings per common share included 613,712 unvested RSU's and the weighted average effect of 1,785,693 stock options that are potentially dilutive to earnings per share, since the exercise price of such securities was less than the average market price during the period.

Potentially dilutive common shares from employee equity plans and warrants are determined by applying the treasury stock method assumed exercise of warrants and share options and were excluded from the computation of diluted net income (loss) per share because their inclusion would be anti-dilutive and consist of the following:

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2019	2018	2019	2018
Stock options	2,537,478	970,309	2,537,478	805,227
Restricted stock units	306,541	—	306,541	—
Warrants	2,355,506	2,355,506	2,355,506	2,355,506
Total	5,199,525	3,325,815	5,199,525	3,160,733

INCOME TAXES

The Company accounts for income taxes pursuant to the asset and liability method which requires deferred income tax assets and liabilities to be computed annually for temporary differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in the future based on enacted tax laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. The income tax expense or benefit is the tax payable or refundable for the period plus or minus the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Recently adopted accounting pronouncements

In August 2018, the SEC issued Release No. 33-10532 that amends and clarifies certain financial reporting requirements. The principal change to our financial reporting is the inclusion of the annual disclosure requirement of changes in stockholders' equity in Rule 3-04 of Regulation S-X to interim periods. The Company adopted this guidance on January 1, 2019.

In February 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*. Subsequent to the issuance of Topic 842, the FASB clarified the guidance through several ASUs; hereinafter the collection of lease guidance is referred to as "ASC 842". The Company, using the modified retrospective approach with a cumulative-effect adjustment, - and recognized a right to use ("ROU") asset at the beginning of the period of adoption (January 1, 2019). Therefore, the Company recognized and measured operating leases on the condensed consolidated balance sheet without revising comparative period information or disclosure. The Company elected the package of practical expedients permitted under the transition guidance within the standard, which eliminates the reassessment of past leases, classification and initial direct costs and treats short term leases of less than a year outside of a ROU asset. The Company has no financing leases. The adoption did not materially impact the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations or Cash Flows. Refer to Note 3, Commitments and Contingencies, for additional disclosures required by ASC 842. The Company determines if an arrangement is a lease at inception. For leases where the Company is the lessee, ROU assets represent the Company's right to use an underlying asset for the lease term and lease liabilities represent an obligation to make lease payments arising from the lease. ROU assets and lease liabilities are recognized at the lease commencement date (except we used the practical expedients and recorded the outstanding operating lease at January 1, 2019) based on the present value of lease payments over the lease term. As the Company's lease did not provide an implicit interest rate, the Company used the equivalent borrowing rate for a secured financing with the term of that equal to the remaining life of the lease at inception. The lease terms used to calculate the ROU asset and related lease liability did not include options to extend or termination of the lease; there are none and there is no reasonable certainty that the Company would extend the lease at expiration. Lease expense for operating leases is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term as an operating expense; there were no finance leases at this time which would be recognized as depreciation expense and interest expense. The Company has lease agreements which require payments for lease and non-lease components and has elected to account for these as a separate lease components. Non-leasing components are not included in the ROU asset.

The Company adopted ASU 2017-11, "Earnings Per Share (Topic 260), Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity (Topic 480) and Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): I. Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Down Round Features; II. Replacement of the Indefinite Deferral for Mandatorily Redeemable Financial Instruments of Certain Nonpublic Entities and Certain Mandatorily Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests with a Scope Exception". Part I of this update addresses the complexity of accounting for certain financial instruments with down round features. Down round features are features of certain equity-linked instruments (or embedded features) that result in the strike price being reduced on the basis of the pricing of future equity offerings. Current accounting guidance creates cost and complexity for entities that issue financial instruments (such as warrants and convertible

instruments) with down round features that require fair value measurement of the entire instrument or conversion option. Part II of this update addresses the difficulty of navigating Topic 480, Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity, because of the existence of extensive pending content in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. This pending content is the result of the indefinite deferral of accounting requirements about mandatorily redeemable financial instruments of certain nonpublic entities and certain mandatorily redeemable noncontrolling interests. The amendments in Part II of this update do not have an accounting effect. This ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2018. The impact of this adoption was immaterial on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

Recently issued accounting pronouncements not yet adopted

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-13, Disclosure Framework - Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Fair Value Measurements ("ASU 2018-13"), which eliminates, adds and modifies certain disclosure requirements for fair value measurements as part of the FASB's disclosure framework project. Adoption of this guidance is required for fiscal years and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2019. The Company is currently evaluating this guidance and the impact of this update on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

Other recent accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by FASB or other standards-setting bodies that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements upon adoption.

NOTE 2 - SHORT TERM INVESTMENTS, PREPAID EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT ASSETS

Short Term Investments

The Company's short term investments are classified as below with maturities of twelve months or less, unrealized gains and losses were immaterial for the periods presented:

Security Type	Fair Value	
	June 30, 2019	December 31, 2018
	(in thousands)	
Government	\$ 2,099	\$ —
Asset Backed	2,899	1,786
Industrial	5,170	2,381
Financial	5,505	7,136
	\$ 15,673	\$ 11,303

Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets

The components of prepaid expenses and other current assets are as presented below:

	June 30, 2019	December 31, 2018
	(in thousands)	
Prepaid income tax	\$ 1,425	\$ 5,429
Other prepaid expenses and other current assets	614	1,151
	\$ 2,039	\$ 6,580

During the quarter ended June 30, 2019, a tax refund of \$4.0 million for the prepayment made during 2018 was received from the Internal Revenue Service.

NOTE 3 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating Leases

On July 19, 2018, the Company entered into an office lease agreement for its headquarters through June 2023. The annual rent is approximately \$0.7 million, payable in equal monthly installments, unless earlier terminated by either party in accordance with

the lease. The annual rent is subject to an approximate 3.5% increase at each anniversary of the commencement date during the term of the agreement.

The Company has two sub-leases with related parties that have lease terms that are month-to-month based on the legally enforceable terms of the agreements as of January 1, 2019. In accordance with ASC 842-10-55-12, leases between related parties should be classified in accordance with the lease classification criteria applicable to all other leases on the basis of the legally enforceable terms and conditions of the lease. As a result, the Company elected not to apply the recognition requirements of ASC 842 for short-term leases, however, the lease costs that pertain to the short-term leases are disclosed in the components of lease costs table below.

The balance sheet classification of the Company's right-of-use asset and lease liabilities was as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2019	
Operating lease right of use assets	\$	2,481
Operating lease liabilities		
Current portion included in current liabilities		502
Long Term portion included in non-current liabilities		2,059
Total Operating lease liabilities	\$	2,561

The components of lease expenses, net which were included in Total expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of operations, were as follows (in thousands):

	For Three Months ended June 30, 2019		For Six Months ended June 30, 2019	
Operating lease cost	\$	198	\$	396
Variable lease cost		—		—
Short term lease income		(59)		(118)
	\$	139	\$	278

Cash paid for amounts included in the measurement of lease liabilities for the six months ended June 30, 2019 was \$0.4 million and was included in Net cash used in operating activities in its consolidated statement of cash flows. Upon the adoption of ASC 842 on January 1, 2019, the Company increased non-cash balances of operating lease right-of-use assets and operating lease liabilities by \$2.7 million and \$2.8 million, respectively.

As of June 30, 2019, the maturities of the Company's operating lease liabilities were as follows (in thousands):

For the year ending December 31,		
2019, remainder	\$	377
2020		773
2021		801
2022		829
2023		425
Total lease payments	\$	3,205
Less: Present value adjustment		(644)
Operating lease liabilities	\$	2,561

Operating lease liabilities are based on the net present value of the remaining lease payments over the remaining lease term. In determining the present value of lease payments, the Company used its incremental borrowing rate based on the information available at the date of adoption of ASC 842. As of June 30, 2019, the weighted average remaining lease term is 4 years and the weighted average discount rate used to determine the operating lease liabilities was 1%.

Capital Commitments

On November 21, 2013, the Company made a \$5.0 million commitment to invest in JVP VII Cyber Strategic Partners, L.P. (the “JVP Fund”), an Israel-based limited partnership venture capital fund seeking to invest in early-stage cyber technology companies. If and when the Company funds the entire amount of the investment, it will be less than a 10% limited partnership interest in which the Company will not be able to exercise control over the fund. Accordingly, the Company has accounted for this investment under the cost method of accounting.

Following a cash call on April 1, 2019 of \$0.5 million, the Company has an outstanding capital commitment of \$1.3 million to the JVP Fund as of June 30, 2019. The remaining commitment can be called at any time.

Contractual Commitments

Finjan Mobile

On April 21, 2017, the Company and Finjan Mobile, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a Confidential Avira VPN Platform Distribution Agreement (the “Distribution Agreement”) with Avira, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Avira”). Pursuant to the Distribution Agreement, Avira will provide its Virtual Private Network (“VPN”) platform and technical support (“VPN Platform”) to Finjan Mobile, and Finjan Mobile will utilize the VPN Platform as part of its VitalSecurity™ suite of product offerings. Avira also granted Finjan Mobile related license rights in connection with the Distribution Agreement and starting July 1, 2017, Finjan Mobile began paying Avira \$3.9 million in fees under the Distribution Agreement, payable in 12 quarterly installments of \$0.3 million over the subsequent 3 years. The Company has analyzed the terms of the agreement and has accounted for the transaction as a service agreement, to be expensed over the period of service. As of June 30, 2019, the Company has a \$1.2 million contractual obligation due over the next 4 quarters.

Finjan Blue

The Company and Finjan Blue entered into a Patent Assignment Agreement with IBM effective as of August 24, 2017. Pursuant to the Patent Assignment Agreement, Finjan Blue acquired 41 select issued and pending IBM Security Patents in exchange for \$8.5 million cash, payable as follows: (i) \$2.0 million upon execution of the Patent Assignment Agreement and (ii) \$6.5 million over the subsequent four years. The Company made its second payment of \$1.0 million on August 24, 2018. As of June 30, 2019, the Company has a remaining balance due of \$5.5 million.

The IBM Security Patents from the Patent Assignment Agreement have been recorded at their present value of \$7.0 million in the first quarter of 2018, recognizing a present value adjustment of \$1.4 million. Accretion related to the present value and amortization expense is recognized over the expected useful life. Accretion and amortization expense was \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2019, respectively and \$0.2 million and \$1.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2019, respectively, \$0.1 million and \$0.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2018, respectively and \$0.5 million and \$0.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2018, respectively. The amortization expense from the May 2018 Patent Assignment Agreement is included in the amortization expense detailed above.

IBM will support Finjan Blue in its development and licensing of the IBM Security Patents and provide assistance for such efforts as needed for the term of the Agreement and Finjan Blue will reimburse IBM for reasonable time and out of pocket costs for such assistance, however IBM will not receive further proceeds from such efforts. IBM does have reservation of rights with respect to the IBM Security Patents for its current licensees and open source initiatives.

NOTE 4 - ACCRUED EXPENSES
Accrued Expenses

The components of accrued expenses are as presented below:

	June 30, 2019	December 31, 2018
(in thousands)		
Legal - Litigation / Licensing	\$ 1,800	\$ —
Compensation	786	336
Other	291	58
	\$ 2,877	\$ 394

NOTE 5 - LICENSE, SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE AGREEMENT

On April 30, 2019, the Company and Zscaler, Inc. (“Zscaler”) entered into a Confidential Patent License and Settlement Agreement (the “License and Settlement Agreement”). Specifically, the parties have resolved and settled all claims between them. As part of the settlement and pursuant to the License and Settlement Agreement and related agreements, Zscaler and its licensed affiliates (the “Zscaler Parties”) obtained a license to, among others, the patents of Finjan, Finjan Mobile, Inc., and Finjan Blue, Inc. (collectively with the Company, the “Finjan Parties”) and agreed to pay Finjan \$7.25 million in cash within five (5) business days of April 30, 2019, the effective date of the License and Settlement Agreement, which payment has been received by Finjan on April 30, 2019. Further, upon acquisition of Zscaler or acquisitions by Zscaler, additional one-time license fees may be due to Finjan equal to eight percent (8%) of the gross revenues of certain qualifying products and services for the four (4) concluded quarters immediately preceding the acquisition. The License and Settlement Agreement and related agreements also contained mutual covenants not to sue and mutual releases, among other terms. The remaining terms of the License and Settlement Agreement and related agreements are confidential.

On February 28, 2018, the Company and the Finjan Parties entered into a Confidential Patent License and Settlement Agreement (the “Symantec License and Settlement Agreement”) with Symantec and its subsidiary, Blue Coat Systems, LLC (collectively, the “Symantec Parties”). Pursuant to the Symantec License and Settlement Agreement, the parties resolved and settled all claims between them. As part of the settlement, the Symantec Parties obtained a license to, among others, the Finjan patents and agreed to pay the Finjan Parties \$65.0 million in cash within twenty (20) days of the Effective Date of the Symantec License and Settlement Agreement, which Finjan received on March 19, 2018. The Company recognized \$65.0 million as revenues as of March 31, 2018. Further, if Symantec acquires certain entities within four years from the Effective Date, the Symantec Parties will pay additional license fees of up to \$45.0 million to the Finjan Parties, unless otherwise mutually agreed to by the Company and Symantec. The remaining terms of the Symantec License and Settlement Agreement are confidential.

On April 21, 2017, the Company entered into a Confidential Patent License Agreement (the “April 2017 Agreement”) with a European corporation (“EU Licensee”). Pursuant to the April 2017 Agreement, EU Licensee obtained a license to our patent portfolio and agreed to pay Finjan \$4.9 million cash, in license fees, paid as follows: (i) \$2.3 million to be paid within 10 days after the effective date of the April 2017 Agreement, which was received in May, 2017, (ii) \$1.3 million on or before January 31, 2018, which was paid on February 1, 2018 and (iii) \$1.3 million on or before January 31, 2019. The Company collected and recognized \$2.3 million of the \$4.9 million license as revenues as of June 30, 2017. The second installment of \$1.3 million was received on February 1, 2018 and recognized as revenues as of December 31, 2017. The final payment of \$1.3 million was received on January 28, 2019 and is included in accumulated adjustments on January 1, 2018. Such license does not grant EU Licensee any right to transfer, sublicense or grant any rights under the April 2017 Agreement to a third party except as specifically provided under the April 2017 Agreement. Such license also has certain provisions relating to certain unlicensed products of any company that acquires EU Licensee, or is acquired by EU Licensee or its affiliates, in which case additional license fees may apply. The specific terms of the April 2017 Agreement are confidential.

On March 30, 2017, Finjan entered into a Confidential Master Agreement (the “Sophos Agreement”) with Sophos Group plc, a public limited company organized and existing under the laws of England and Wales, Sophos Limited, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of England and Wales (“Sophos Limited”), and Sophos Inc. (“Sophos Inc.”), a Massachusetts corporation (collectively, “Sophos”). Pursuant to the Sophos Agreement, Finjan and Sophos Inc. agreed to dismiss the suit Finjan, Inc. v. Sophos, Inc. before the United States District Court of the Northern District of California (case no. 3:14cv1197-WHO) with prejudice. The Sophos Agreement also provides for full releases by the parties and covenants not to sue. Under the terms of the Sophos Agreement, on March 30, 2017, Sophos obtained a fully paid up license to the Finjan patent portfolio and pay a license

fee of \$15.0 million in cash, which Finjan received on March 31, 2017. The Company recognized \$15.0 million as revenues as of March 31, 2017. Finally, in connection with the Sophos Agreement, on March 30, 2017, Finjan Mobile entered into a Confidential Patent Cross License Agreement (the "Finjan Mobile Cross License Agreement") with Sophos Limited. Pursuant to the terms of the Finjan Mobile Cross License Agreement, the parties granted patent cross licenses in the Field of Use and Sophos Limited agreed to pay Finjan Mobile \$2.5 million cash, of which \$1.25 million was received on March 29, 2018 and a final payment of \$1.25 million was received on March 28, 2019 and is included in accumulated adjustments on January 1, 2018.

NOTE 6 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Stock Repurchase Program

On May 2, 2018, the Company's board of directors authorized the repurchase of issued and outstanding shares of the Company's common stock having an aggregate value of up to \$10.0 million pursuant to a share repurchase program. The authorization did not specify an expiration date. The repurchases under the share repurchase program were made in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions and were funded from the Company's working capital. As of June 30, 2019, the Company has a remaining authorization of \$8.0 million for future share repurchases.

Preferred Stock

Series A-1

During the quarter ended March 31, 2018, the Company retired all shares of the Series A-1 Preferred stock, \$19.9 million or 153,000 shares; \$15.3 million reduced the original recorded value of the Series A-1 Preferred stock and \$4.6 million reduced the accreted value.

During the issuance of the Series A-1 Preferred stock, the Company incurred issuance costs of \$1.0 million which were recorded as an offset to the preferred stock. Such costs have been recognized as a deemed dividend upon the redemption and retirement of the Preferred stock, which occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2018.

On issuance of the Series A-1 Preferred stock, the Company agreed to issue to Soryn HLDR Vehicle II LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, a fully vested common stock warrant (the "Warrant"), to initially purchase 2,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.0001 par value per share of the Company at an exercise price of \$3.18 per share, which increased to 2,355,506 shares in accordance with its terms. The Warrant has a term of three years. Upon the closing of the sale and issuance of the Series A-1 Preferred Stock on June 19, 2017, the Warrant was issuable for 2,000,000 shares, increased by an additional 309,136 shares on June 30, 2017 and an additional 46,370 shares on July 25, 2017.

The holder of the Warrant has the right to acquire a variable amount of common stock at a fixed price for the first 15 months. Under ASC 815-40-15-8A, the Warrant is not considered indexed to the Company's stock, and thus it had a derivative feature and was classified as a liability for the first 15 months. The Company valued the Warrant at inception using a Monte Carlo valuation model, recording a \$3.3 million warrant liability at inception, which was then marked-to-market at each reporting period with the change in fair value recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. On September 19, 2018, upon expiration of the 15 month period, the Warrant was marked-to-market and its value increased to \$4.5 million and reclassified such amounts to equity.

NOTE 7 – STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

Stock-based compensation to employees and non-employees is recognized as expense in the condensed consolidated statement of operations. The compensation cost for all stock-based awards is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award (determined using Black-Scholes option pricing model for stock options and fair value for Restricted Stocks Units ("RSUs"), and is recognized as an expense over the requisite service period (generally the vesting of the equity awards). Determining the fair value of stock-based awards at the grant date requires significant estimates and judgments, including future employee stock option exercise behavior and requisite service periods. The Company adopted ASU 2016-09 and 2018-07 during the year ended December 31, 2018 and recognizes forfeitures as they occur. There were none since the adoption of these pronouncements.

On June 21, 2017, at the annual meeting of stockholders, the Company's shareholders approved (i) an increase of 1,000,000 shares to the Finjan Holdings, Inc. 2014 Plan and (ii) the addition of an "evergreen" feature which provides for the annual replenishment of shares to the Restated 2014 Plan share reserve without stockholder approval, which represented an additional 1,385,366 shares as of January 1, 2018 and 1,378,432 shares as of January 1, 2019 (equal to 5.0% of our outstanding shares of Common Stock as

of the end of our immediately preceding fiscal year). As of June 30, 2019, the Company has 2,859,590 shares available for issuance under the 2014 Plan.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2019, the Company expensed \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million, respectively and \$0.4 million and \$0.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018, respectively, of stock-based compensation in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. All stock-based compensation expenses were related to selling, general and administration.

Stock Options

The following table is a summary of stock option activity during the six months ended June 30, 2019:

	Number of Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding 2013 & 2014 Plans – December 31, 2018	2,486,646	\$ 1.89	7.00	\$ 1,550
Options granted	50,832	3.02	9.84	—
Options exercised	—	—	—	—
Options forfeited	—	—	—	—
Outstanding – June 30, 2019	2,537,478	\$ 1.91	6.60	\$ 913
Exercisable – June 30, 2019	1,632,565	\$ 1.69	5.51	\$ 888

As of June 30, 2019, total compensation cost not yet recognized related to restricted stock awards and unvested stock options was approximately \$1.9 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.9 years.

The Company estimates the fair values of stock options using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The assumptions used in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and the weighted-average grant date fair value of the option awards for the periods presented were as follows:

	Three and Six Months ended June 30	
	2019	2018
Volatility	65.01%	104.99%
Expected term (in years)	6	6
Risk-free rate	2.37%	2.24%
Expected dividend yield	—	—
Weighted-average grant date fair value per option	\$ 1.76	\$ 2.24

The risk-free interest rate is the United States Treasury rate for the day of the grant having a term equal to the life of the equity instrument. The volatility is a measure of the amount by which the Company's share price has fluctuated or is expected to fluctuate; the Company used its common stock volatility along with the average of historic volatilities of comparative companies. The dividend yield is zero percent as the Company has not made any dividend payment and has no plans to pay dividends in the foreseeable future. The Company determines the expected term of its stock option awards by using the simplified method, which assumes each vesting tranche of the award has a term equal to average of the contractual term and the vesting period.

Restricted Stock Units

The following table is a summary of restricted stock units award activity during the six months ended June 30, 2019:

	Six Months Months ended June 30, 2019	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Non-vested at beginning of period	315,292	\$ 2.26
Shares vested	(41,864)	2.31
Shares granted	33,113	3.02
Non-vested	306,541	\$ 2.26

The aggregate intrinsic value of the unvested RSU's was \$0.6 million as of June 30, 2019.

NOTE 8 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In the course of business, the Company obtains legal services from a firm in which the Company's Chairman is a partner. The Company incurred approximately \$38,000 and \$76,000 in legal fees to the firm for the three and six months ended June 30, 2019 and 2018, respectively. As of June 30, 2019 and December 31, 2018, the Company had balances due to this firm of \$0 and \$163,000 respectively. Such amounts are included as part of accounts payable - related parties on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The Company entered into a sublease agreement at its headquarters, effective July 1, 2018 with Benhamou Global Ventures, a company in which one of the Company's Directors serves as Managing Director. Rental income from the sublease is approximately \$15,000 quarterly for an undefined term.

The Company entered into a second sublease agreement at its headquarters, effective July 1, 2018 with a portfolio company in which one of the Company's Directors is an investor and is represented on the board. Rental income from the sublease is approximately \$45,000 quarterly for an undefined term.

In accordance with ASC 842-10-55-12, leases between related parties should be classified in accordance with the lease classification criteria applicable to all other leases on the basis of the legally enforceable terms and conditions of the lease. The legally enforceable terms of these sub-leases are month-to-month. As a result of the criteria outlined above, we have not included these sub-leases under ASC 842 and lessor accounting due to the legally enforceable term of less than one year and will continue to recognize amounts related to these sub-leases as income each month.

NOTE 9 - LITIGATION, CLAIMS AND ASSESSMENTS

A. United States District Court Actions

Finjan, Inc. v. Palo Alto Networks, Inc., Case No. 4:14-cv-04908-PJH (N.D. Cal.)

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Palo Alto Networks, Inc. ("Palo Alto Networks") in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on November 4, 2014, asserting that Palo Alto Networks is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,804,780; 6,965,968; 7,058,822; 7,418,731; 7,613,918; 7,613,926; 7,647,633; 8,141,154; 8,225,408; and 8,677,494 (the "Asserted Patents") through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to Next-Generation Security Platform, Next-Generation Firewall, Virtualized Firewall, WildFire Subscription, WildFire Platform, URL Filtering Subscription, Threat Prevention Subscription, and Advanced EndPoint Protection. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that Palo Alto Networks has infringed, is infringing, has induced infringement and is inducing infringement of the Asserted Patents, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, or inducing the infringement of the Asserted Patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty consistent with proof, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. §285. This action is before the Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton. Palo Alto Networks filed several petitions for IPR's before the PTAB. The PTAB instituted review of certain patents and denied institution on other challenged patents. On May 26, 2016, the Court ordered a stay to remain in effect until the PTAB's final determination of the instituted IPRs, and the matter

remains stayed pending appeal. For particulars of the pending IPR proceedings, see Section B of this Note, “*Inter Partes* Review Proceedings,” case numbers IPR 2015-01979, IPR2016-00151, and IPR2016-00159. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. ESET, LLC et al., Case No. 3:17-cv-00183-CAB (S.D. Cal.)

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against ESET, LLC and ESET SPOL S.R.O. (collectively “ESET”) in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (Case No. 3:16-cv-03731-JD (N.D. Cal.)) on July 1, 2016, asserting that ESET infringes Finjan’s U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844; 6,804,780; 7,975,305; 8,079,086; 9,189,621; and 9,219,755 (the “Asserted Patents”) through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to, ESET ThreatSense, ESET Advanced Heuristic, ESET DNA Signature, Host-based Intrusion Prevention System (HIPS), and ESET LiveGrid technologies including ESET’S Home Protection, Small Office, and Business product lines and ESET Services. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that ESET has infringed and is infringing the Asserted Patents, a preliminary and permanent injunction from the infringement of the same patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty consistent with proof, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys’ fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285. The case was transferred to the Southern District of California on January 30, 2017. ESET filed a Complaint for Declaratory Judgment against Finjan in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California on July 1, 2016, asserting that there is an actual controversy between the parties to declare that ESET does not infringe any claim of U.S. Patent No. 7,975,305 (“the ‘305 Patent”). ESET sought an entry of judgment that it has not infringed any claim of the ‘305 Patent, an injunction against Finjan from asserting any of the claims in the ‘305 Patent against ESET or any of its customers or suppliers, and a finding that the case is exceptional and an award of fees and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 285. This action is now before the Honorable Cathy Ann Bencivengo. Details on procedures prior to February 2018 are disclosed in Note 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017. On February 20, 2018, ESET filed a Motion to Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Review, which Finjan opposed. On May 7, 2018, the Court granted ESET’S Motion to Stay with regard to the ‘305 Patent only. The Court’s Scheduling Order was amended on October 4, 2018, January 4, 2019, and February 25, 2019, such that the following dates were in effect: close of expert discovery was March 15, 2019; opening dispositive and Daubert motions were filed on April 23, 2019, with oppositions filed on May 14, 2019, and replies filed on May 28, 2019; the final pretrial conference was scheduled for September 13, 2019; and the trial was to commence on October 29, 2019. On July 30, 2019, ESET filed an *Ex Parte* Motion to Amend the Scheduling Order, due to ESET’S trial counsels’ move to another law firm. On July 31, 2019, the Court granted ESET’S motion and vacated “all pending deadlines and hearing dates. The Court will issue an Amended Scheduling Order upon the Court’s ruling on the parties’ pending dispositive and *Daubert* motions”. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc., Case No. 5:17-cv-00072-BLF (N.D. Cal.)

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Cisco”) in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on January 6, 2017, asserting that Cisco infringes certain claims of Finjan’s U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844; 6,804,780; 7,647,633; 8,141,154; and 8,677,494 (the “Asserted Patents”) through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to, Cisco’s Advanced Malware Protection, Cisco Collective Security Intelligence, Cisco Outbreak Filters, Talos Security Intelligence and Research Group, and AMP Threat Grid technologies, including Cisco AMP for Endpoints, Cisco AMP for Networks (also referred to by Cisco as “NGIPS”), Cisco AMP for ASA with FirePOWER Services, Cisco AMP Private Cloud Virtual Appliance, Cisco AMP for CWS, ESA, or WSA, Cisco AMP for Meraki MX, Cisco AMP Threat Grid. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that Cisco has infringed and is infringing the Asserted Patents, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing the Asserted Patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty consistent with proof, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys’ fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285. This action is before the Honorable Beth Labson Freeman. Details on procedures prior to March 2018 are disclosed in Note 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017. On August 16, 2017, the Court issued a scheduling order that set a final pretrial conference for April 23, 2020, and trial to commence on June 1, 2020. On April 2, 2018, Finjan filed a Motion to Strike Cisco’s Affirmative Defenses of prosecution laches, ensnarement doctrine, and inequitable conduct, to which a hearing was held on August 30, 2018. On June 7, 2018, the Court held a claim construction tutorial, and on June 15, 2018, the Court held a claim construction hearing. An Order Construing Claims in U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844; 6,804,780; 7,647,633; 8,141,154; and 8,677,494 was issued on July 23, 2018. The Court held a case management conference on August 30, 2018 and confirmed the jury trial to commence on June 1, 2020. On September 13, 2018, the Court granted Finjan’s Motion to Strike Cisco’s Affirmative Defenses of prosecution laches and ensnarement doctrine, and a portion of Cisco’s inequitable conduct defense, with leave to amend. On October 4, 2018, Cisco filed its Second Amended Answer and Affirmative Defenses. On February 5, 2019, the Court issued an Order Construing Additional Claims in U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844; 6,804,780; and 7,647,633. On March 22, 2019, Cisco filed a Motion to Strike Finjan’s Supplemental Interrogatory Response or, in the Alternative, Leave to Amend its Answer to Assert a Counterclaim for Breach of Contract. On April 15, 2019, Cisco filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the Court’s Order Construing Additional Claims with respect to the ‘633 Patent, and

on April 30, 2019, the Court granted Cisco's Motion for Reconsideration. On May 7, 2019, the Court issued an Order modifying the dates for summary judgment briefing, so that currently the opening summary judgment briefs are due on October 22, 2019, oppositions due on November 12, 2019, and replies due on November 26, 2019. The hearing on the summary judgment motions is January 9, 2020. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. ESET SPOL S.R.O. et al., Docket Nos. 2 Ni 53/16 (EP). 4c O 33/16 (German Litigations)

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against ESET SPOL. S.R.O., a Slovak Republic Corporation, and ESET Deutschland GmbH (collectively "ESET") in the Düsseldorf District Court of Germany on July 1, 2016, asserting that ESET infringed Finjan's European Patent No. 0 965 094 B1 ("the '094 Patent"), through the offering and/or delivering to customers in the Federal Republic of Germany software covered by the '094 Patent, including but not limited to ESET's ThreatSense, ESET Advanced Heuristic, ESET DNA Signature, ESET LiveGrid technologies, including ESET's Home Users, Small Office, and Business product lines and ESET services. Finjan seeks a judgment sentencing ESET to a fine for each violation of patent infringement or, alternatively imprisonment of ESET directors, cease and desist orders for offering or delivering infringing software, providing Finjan with profit information for offering or delivering infringing software, and damages, which Finjan has suffered or shall suffer as a result of ESET offering or delivering infringing software since November 1, 2008. The infringement hearing was held on October 5, 2017. On November 24, 2016, ESET filed a nullity action with the Federal German Patent Court (the "Court"). The infringement proceedings were stayed pending resolution in the nullity action. Finjan responded to the nullity action contesting the nullity action completely and requesting the Court to reject the action and impose the cost of the proceedings to the claimant. The nullity hearing was held on November 28 and 29, 2018, and on March 22, 2019, the Court issued its decision in German determining the claims at issue to be unpatentable. Finjan filed a notice of appeal of the Court's determination with the Federal Court of Justice of Germany on April 25, 2019, and its Appeal Brief on July 25, 2019. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. SonicWall, Inc., Case No. 5:17-cv-04467-BLF (N.D. Cal.)

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against SonicWall, Inc. ("SonicWall") in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on August 4, 2017, asserting that SonicWall is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844; 7,058,822; 6,804,780; 7,613,926; 7,647,633; 8,141,154; 8,677,494; 7,975,305; 8,225,408; and 6,965,968 (the "Asserted Patents") through the manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to, Appliance Products utilizing Capture ATP and/or Gateway Security Services and Email Security Products utilizing Capture ATP and/or Gateway Security Services. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that SonicWall has infringed and is infringing the Asserted Patents, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing the Asserted Patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty consistent with proof, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285. This action is before the Honorable Beth Labson Freeman. On October 13, 2017, SonicWall filed a Motion to Dismiss Finjan's Complaint for Failure to State a Claim for Willful Infringement. On May 16, 2018, the Court denied SonicWall's Motion to Dismiss. On May 30, 2018, SonicWall filed its Answer to Complaint. On June 20, 2018, Finjan filed a Motion to Strike SonicWall's Seventh Affirmative Defense of inequitable conduct. Defendant's opposition was filed on July 5, 2018, and Finjan's reply was filed on July 12, 2018. On November 2, 2018, the Court granted the parties' stipulation to withdraw Finjan's Motion to Strike and grant SonicWall leave to amend its answer. On November 9, 2018, SonicWall filed its Amended Answer and Affirmative Defenses. On September 11, 2018, the Court amended its scheduling order pursuant to the parties' stipulation such that the claim construction hearing was held on March 1, 2019. The Court issued an Order Construing Claims in U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844; 6,965,968; 7,058,822; 7,613,926; 7,647,633; and 8,225,408 on March 26, 2019. On April 30, 2019, SonicWall filed a motion for leave to conduct additional claim construction proceedings. Finjan filed its opposition to SonicWall's motion for leave on May 6, 2019. On May 7, 2019, the Court denied SonicWall's motion for leave to conduct additional claim construction proceedings. On May 16, 2019, the Court held a further case management conference and also granted an extension for the deadline to complete mediation to September 30, 2019. Pursuant to the Court's December 14, 2017 Case Management Order, a final pretrial conference is set for March 18, 2021, and a jury trial to commence on May 3, 2021. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. Bitdefender Inc., et al., Case No. 4:17-cv-04790-HSG (N.D. Cal.)

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Bitdefender Inc. and Bitdefender S.R.L. ("Bitdefender") in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on August 16, 2017, asserting that Bitdefender is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,804,780; 7,930,299; 8,141,154; and 8,677,494 (the "Asserted Patents") through the manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to, Total Security, Family Pack, Internet Security, Antivirus Plus, Security for XP and Vista, Antivirus for Mac, Mobile Security, GravityZone Enterprise Security, GravityZone Elite Security, GravityZone Advanced Business Security, GravityZone Business Security, Hypervisor Introspection, Security for AWS, Cloud Security for MSP, GravityZone for xSP, and BOX. Finjan seeks entry

of judgment that Bitdefender has infringed and is infringing the Asserted Patents, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing the Asserted Patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty consistent with proof, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285. This action is before the Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. Details on procedures prior to December 2018 are disclosed in Note 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018. A claim construction hearing was held on June 6, 2018. A Claim Construction Order issued on February 14, 2019 and a further case management conference was held on March 12, 2019. Pursuant to the Court's April 1, 2019 Scheduling Order, dispositive motions will be filed on November 7, 2019, with oppositions on December 5, 2019, replies on December 19, 2019, and the hearing on January 9, 2020. A pretrial conference is set for March 17, 2020, with a jury trial to commence on April 6, 2020. On June 18, 2019, Bitdefender filed a Motion to Amend/Correct Counterclaims. Finjan opposed the motion on July 2, 2019, and on July 9, 2019, Bitdefender replied. The hearing is set for October 31, 2019. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. Juniper Networks, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA (N.D. Cal.)

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Juniper Networks, Inc. ("Juniper") in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on September 29, 2017, asserting that Juniper is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844; 6,804,780; 7,647,633; 7,613,926; 8,141,154; 8,677,494; 7,975,305; and 8,225,408 (the "Asserted Patents") through the manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to, SRX Gateways, SRX Gateways using Sky ATP, and Contrail. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that Juniper has infringed and is infringing the Asserted Patents, has and is inducing infringement, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing the Asserted Patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty consistent with proof, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285. This action is before the Honorable William H. Alsup. Details on procedures prior to March 2018 are disclosed in Note 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018. On May 31, 2018, Finjan filed a Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint to assert U.S. Patent No. 7,418,731 ("the '731 Patent"); the Court granted Finjan's Motion to File a Second Amended Complaint on July 19, 2018, and on July 27, 2018, Finjan filed its Second Amended Complaint to assert the '731 Patent. Finjan also moved to dismiss Juniper's counterclaims and strike its affirmative defenses on June 15, 2018. On August 31, 2018, the Court converted Finjan's motion to dismiss to a judgment on the pleadings and dismissed Juniper's claims of prosecution laches, inequitable conduct for the '154 and '494 Patents, and ensnarement doctrine, and ordered that Juniper may seek leave to amend, and denied Finjan's motion to dismiss unclean hands. On September 21, 2018, Juniper filed a Motion for Leave to File an Amended Answer ("Motion for Leave"). Finjan filed its Opposition to the Motion for Leave on October 5, 2018, and Juniper's Reply regarding the Motion for Leave was filed on October 12, 2018. On October 29, 2018, the Court granted Juniper leave to amend its complaint with regard to inequitable conduct of the '494 and '154 Patents and denied leave to amend with regard to Juniper's claims of prosecution laches. Juniper filed its First Amended Answer on November 5, 2018. The parties completed a first round of briefing of early summary judgment motions in June and July of 2018, and on August 9, 2018, the Court granted Juniper's Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-infringement of the '780 Patent. On August 21, 2018, the parties filed a response to the Court's August 20, 2018 Order requesting supplemental briefing for summary judgment of the '494 Patent. On August 24, 2018, the Court granted in part Finjan's Motion for Summary Judgment of the '494 Patent. A final pretrial conference for trial on the '494 Patent was held on December 4, 2018, and a jury trial commenced on December 10, 2018. On December 14, 2018, the jury found no infringement of Claim 10 of the '494 Patent. On January 10, 2019, the parties filed Motions for Judgment as a Matter of Law ("JMOL"), Oppositions on January 24, 2019, and Replies on January 31, 2019. On March 11, 2019, the Court denied Finjan's motion for JMOL, and held Juniper's motion for JMOL in abeyance. A trial for Juniper's equitable defenses, counterclaims, and Section 101 defense was set for July 29, 2019, but was subsequently vacated. On March 28, 2019, Juniper filed a Motion for Sanctions, and on March 29, 2019, Finjan filed a Rule 60(b) Motion for Relief from Judgment. Hearings on these motions were heard on May 9, 2019. On May 22, 2019, the Court issued an Order denying Finjan's Rule 60(b) motion, and held in abeyance Juniper's motion for sanctions. On November 6, 2018, the Court ordered a second round of early motions for summary judgment on one asserted claim for each party, with opening motions for summary judgment filed on February 14, 2019, oppositions filed on March 14, 2019, and replies filed on April 4, 2019, with a hearing on May 2, 2019. Finjan moved for summary judgment of infringement of Claim 1 of the '154 Patent, and Juniper moved for summary judgment of non-infringement of Claim 9 of the '780 Patent. On May 9, 2019 the Court denied Finjan's motion, granted Juniper's motion, issued an Order to Show Cause why summary judgment should not be granted regarding Claim 1 of the '154 Patent, and set a jury trial to commence on October 21, 2019. The parties filed responses to the Order to Show Cause, and filed respective replies to the same. On June 19, 2019, the Court entered the Fourth Amended Case Management Order setting a hearing on summary judgment motions for September 12, 2019, a hearing on *Daubert* motions for October 3, 2019, and a pretrial conference for October 9, 2019. A settlement conference was held before U.S. Magistrate Judge Nathanael M. Cousins on July 9, 2019. On July 23, 2019, the Court issued an Order re the Order to Show Cause granting summary judgment of noninfringement of Claim 1 of the '154 Patent. On August 2, 2019, the parties filed a Joint Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice with respect to specific patents, claims, and counterclaims. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. ZScaler, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-06946-JST (N.D. Cal.)

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against ZScaler, Inc. (“ZScaler”) in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on December 5, 2017, asserting that ZScaler is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of Finjan’s U.S. Patent Nos. 6,804,780; 7,647,633; 8,677,494; and 7,975,305 (the “Asserted Patents”) through the manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including, but not limited to, ZScaler’s Internet Access Bundles (including Professional, Business, and Transformation), Private Access Bundle (including Professional Business, and Enterprise), ZScaler Enforcement Node (“ZEN”), Secure Web Gateway, Cloud Firewall, Cloud Sandbox, and Cloud Architecture products and services. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that ZScaler has and continues to infringe the Asserted Patents, has and continues to induce infringement, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing the Asserted Patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty, enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys’ fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285. This action is before the Honorable Jon S. Tigar. On March 5, 2018, Finjan moved to strike ZScaler’s affirmative defense. ZScaler filed an Amended Answer and Counterclaims on March 29, 2018, and Finjan’s Motion to Strike was terminated as moot. On April 2, 2018, Finjan filed an Answer to ZScaler’s Counterclaim. On March 21, 2019, ZScaler filed a Motion to Stay the case, Finjan filed its Opposition on April 4, 2019, and ZScaler filed its Reply on April 11, 2019. The Court set a claim construction tutorial for May 14, 2019, and a claim construction hearing for May 28, 2019. A summary judgment hearing was scheduled for November 18, 2019. On May 1, 2019, the parties filed a Joint Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice pursuant to a confidential settlement agreement between the parties, which the Court granted on the same day.

Finjan, Inc. v. Trustwave Holdings, Inc., C.A. No. N18C-04-006 WCC-CCLD (Del. Super. Ct.)

Finjan filed a breach of contract lawsuit against Trustwave Holdings, Inc. (“Trustwave”) in the Superior Court of Delaware on April 4, 2018, asserting that Trustwave breached a patent licensing agreement with Finjan by failing to pay owed royalties, failing to comply with audit procedures as provided by that licensing agreement, and for failing to pay for that audit. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that Trustwave be ordered to pay damages due to the breach of the agreement and the cost of the audit, including interest, and that Finjan be awarded attorneys’ fees. This action is before the Honorable William C. Carpenter, Jr. Trustwave moved to dismiss the Complaint on June 8, 2018, and filed its opening brief on June 29, 2018. Finjan opposed the Motion to Dismiss on July 30, 2018, and Trustwave filed its Reply on August 13, 2018. A hearing on the Motion to Dismiss was heard on November 19, 2018. On February 11, 2019, Judge Carpenter issued an Order denying Trustwave’s Motion to Dismiss and permitting Finjan’s Breach of Contract suit to proceed. On February 19, 2019, Trustwave filed its Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Finjan’s Complaint. A schedule has not yet been set in the case. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. Check Point et al., Case No. 3:18-cv-02621-WHO (N.D. Cal.)

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Check Point Software Technologies Inc. and Check Point Software Technologies Ltd. (“Check Point”) in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on May 3, 2018, asserting that Check Point is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of Finjan’s U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844; 6,965,968; 7,418,731; 7,647,633; 8,079,086; 8,141,154; and 8,677,494 (the “Asserted Patents”) through the manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including, but not limited to, Check Point’s Next Generation Firewall and Security Gateway products, Blade products, CloudGuard products, Endpoint Protection products, Advanced Threat Prevention products, Mobile Security products, ZoneAlarm products, Threat Intelligence products, Security Management and Policy Management products, ThreatCloud Managed Security Service products, Smart-1 Appliance products, products using SandBlast technology, and products utilizing the Gaia Operating System. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that Check Point has infringed, is infringing, has induced infringement and is inducing infringement of the Asserted Patents, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, or inducing the infringement of the Asserted Patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty consistent with proof, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys’ fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285. This action is before the Honorable William H. Orrick. On July 16, 2018, Check Point filed its Answer. A case management conference was held on August 14, 2018. On August 15, 2018, the Court issued its Civil Pretrial Order setting a hearing for summary judgment motions on September 30, 2020, a pretrial conference on December 14, 2020, and a jury trial to commence on January 25, 2021. On November 21, 2018, Check Point filed its Amended Answer. On December 5, 2018, Finjan filed a Motion to Strike Check Point’s Affirmative Defenses of lack of standing for the ‘154 Patent, prosecution laches, and inequitable conduct for the ‘154 and ‘494 Patents. On January 25, 2019, the Court granted Finjan’s Motion to Strike Check Point’s Affirmative Defenses of lack of standing and prosecution laches with leave to amend its prosecution laches defense and denied the Motion to Strike with respect to inequitable conduct. On February 12, 2019, Check Point filed a Motion for Leave to Amend its Answer and Affirmative Defenses to include inequitable conduct defenses for the ‘086, ‘633, and ‘844 Patent, and unenforceability defense based on a terminal disclaimer filed for the ‘086 Patent. Finjan’s Opposition was filed on February 26, 2019, and Check Point’s Reply was filed on March 5, 2019. On April 2, 2019, the Court issued an Order granting Check Point’s Motion for Leave to Amend its Answer and Affirmative Defenses, and on April 3, 2019, Check Point filed its Second

Amended Answer and Affirmative Defenses. On June 14, 2019, the Court rescheduled the claim construction hearing to September 16, 2019, but then later vacated that date, and has not yet set a new one. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. Rapid7, Inc. et al, Case No. 1:18-cv-01519-MN (D. Del)

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Rapid7, Inc. (“Rapid7”) in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware on October 1, 2018, asserting that Rapid7 is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of Finjan’s U.S. Patent Nos. 7,757,289; 7,613,918; 7,975,305; 8,079,086; 8,141,154; 8,225,408; and 8,677,494 (the “Asserted Patents”) through the manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including, but not limited to, Rapid7’s InsightIDR, InsightVM (Nexpose), InsightAppSec, AppSpider, Metasploit and Komand technologies, including Rapid7 Insight Platform products. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that Rapid7 has infringed, is infringing, has induced infringement and is inducing infringement of the Asserted Patents, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, or inducing the infringement of the Asserted Patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty consistent with proof, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys’ fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285. This action is before the Honorable Maryellen Noreika. Rapid7 filed an Answer on December 5, 2018. On December 26, 2018, Finjan filed a Motion to Strike Rapid7’s Affirmative Defenses of inequitable conduct for the ‘154, ‘494, and ‘086 Patents. Rapid7 filed its Opposition on January 9, 2019, and Finjan filed its Reply on January 16, 2019. On June 25, 2019, Magistrate Judge Thyng filed a Report and Recommendations regarding Finjan’s Motion to Strike, and on July 16, 2019, the Court issued an Order Adopting Report and Recommendation and denying Finjan’s Motion to Strike. On February 13, 2019, the Court issued a Scheduling Order that set a pretrial conference for February 8, 2021, and trial for February 22, 2021. On March 20, 2019, the Court scheduled a mediation conference for August 13, 2019, before Chief U.S. Magistrate Judge Mary Pat Thyng. On May 28, 2019, the Court rescheduled the claim construction hearing for December 20, 2019. On July 31, 2019, the Court set a second claim construction hearing for January 15, 2020. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims

Finjan, Inc. v. Fortinet, Inc., Case No. 3:18-cv-06555-JD (N.D. Cal)

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Fortinet, Inc. (“Fortinet”) in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on October 26, 2018, asserting that Fortinet infringes certain claims of Finjan’s U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844; 6,965,968; 7,058,822; 7,418,731; 7,647,633; 7,975,305; 8,079,086; 8,225,408; and 8,677,494 (the “Asserted Patents”) through the manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including, but not limited to, Fortinet’s FortiGate, FortiManager, FortiAnalyzer, FortiSiem, FortiSandbox, FortiMail, FortiWeb, FortiCache, and FortiClient technologies, including Fortinet Security Fabric products. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that Fortinet has infringed, is infringing, has induced infringement and is inducing infringement of the Asserted Patents, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, or inducing the infringement of the Asserted Patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty consistent with proof, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys’ fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285. This action is before the Honorable James Donato. On December 17, 2018, the Court ordered Finjan to show cause in writing by December 27, 2018, as to why the case should not be stayed pending resolution of the other actions where overlapping patents are being actively litigated and permitted Fortinet to file a statement of its views by January 2, 2019. Fortinet’s Answer and Counterclaims were filed on December 19, 2018. On December 27, 2018, Finjan filed its Response to Order to Show Cause, opposing a stay, Fortinet filed its Response requesting a stay on January 2, 2019, and on January 3, 2019, the Court held a hearing regarding the stay. On January 7, 2019, the Court temporarily stayed the case until the next status conference, on February 21, 2019. At the February 21, 2019 status conference, and subsequently in its Minute Order dated February 25, 2019, the Court directed the parties to file by February 28, 2019, a joint statement identifying the patents and claims at issue and unique in the case. The Court has yet to decide if it will further stay the case pending resolution of other Finjan actions. On February 26, 2019, Finjan filed its answer to Fortinet’s counterclaims. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. Qualys Inc., Case No. 4:18-cv-07229-YGR (N.D. Cal)

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Qualys Inc. (“Qualys”) in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on November 29, 2018, asserting that Qualys infringes certain claims of Finjan’s U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844; 8,677,494; 7,975,305; 8,225,408; 6,965,968; 7,418,731; and 8,141,154 (the “Asserted Patents”) through the manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including, but not limited to, Qualys’ products and services that utilize Vulnerability Management, Threat Protection, Continuous Monitoring, Indicators of Compromise, Container Security, Web App Firewall, Web App Scanning, and Compliance Monitoring, including Qualys Cloud Platform products. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that Qualys has infringed, is infringing, has induced infringement and is inducing infringement of the Asserted Patents, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, or inducing the infringement of the Asserted Patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty consistent with proof, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys’ fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285. This action is before the Honorable

Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers. On January 23, 2019, Qualys filed its Answer and Counterclaims. On February 13, 2019, Finjan filed its Answer to Qualys' Counterclaim. On March 6, 2019 Qualys filed its First Amended Answer and Counterclaims, and Finjan filed its Answer to Counterclaims on March 20, 2019. An initial case management conference was held on March 4, 2019. At that conference, the Court ordered Finjan to submit information identifying common asserted claims in other cases, as well as overlapping claim construction terms and definitions. Finjan filed its submission on March 15, 2019. On July 16, 2019, the Court issued an Order setting the claim construction hearing for May 1, 2020. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

B. Proceedings before the United States Patent & Trademark Office (USPTO)

***Ex Parte* Reexamination Proceedings**

As defined by the USPTO, an *Ex Parte* Reexamination is a "proceeding in which any person may request reexamination of a U.S. Patent based on one or more prior patents or printed publications. A requester who is not the patent owner has limited participation rights in the proceedings."

U.S. Patent No. 8,015,182 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.)

A third-party request for *Ex Parte* Reexamination of claims 8-11, 13 of U.S. Patent No. 8,015,182 was filed on September 16, 2016 by an anonymous party and assigned Reexamination Control Number 90/013,812. The request for reexamination was granted on October 17, 2016. On December 19, 2016, Finjan filed a Petition to consider pre-institution argument requesting, *inter alia*, that the Director rescind and/or terminate the reexamination pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 325(d). A decision dismissing Finjan's petition to vacate the reexamination order was mailed on March 27, 2017. A Petition for Writ of Mandamus was filed with the Court of Appeal for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) requesting review of the Office's Dismissal. The CAFC denied the petition. A non-final Office Action rejecting claims 8-11 and 13 was issued on April 13, 2017. An Examiner Interview was conducted on May 23, 2017 and a Response to the non-final Office Action was filed on June 13, 2017. A final Office Action was mailed November 9, 2017 and a Response was filed January 8, 2018. An Advisory Action was mailed February 8, 2018 and a Notice of Appeal was filed February 12, 2018. An Appeal Brief was filed on April 12, 2018. An Examiner's Answer was mailed on May 14, 2018 and a Reply Brief and Request for Oral Hearing were filed on July 11, 2018. Oral arguments were presented before the PTAB on September 12, 2018 and a Decision reversing the Examiner's rejection of claims 8-11 and 13 was issued on October 1, 2018. On January 24, 2019, a Notice of Intent to Issue *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate confirming the patentability of claims 8-11 and 13 was issued by the Patent Office. A Reexamination Certificate was issued on March 4, 2019.

U.S. Patent No. 7,975,305 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.)

A third-party request for *Ex Parte* Reexamination of claims 1, 2, 5 and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 7,975,305 was filed on December 11, 2015 by Proofpoint, Inc. and assigned Reexamination Control Number 90/013,660. The request for reexamination was granted on January 19, 2016 and a non-final Office Action was mailed on April 12, 2016. A Response to the non-final Office Action was filed on June 13, 2016. A Final Action was mailed on August 24, 2016 with a Response due October 24, 2016. A Response to the Final Action was filed on October 24, 2016 and a second interview with the Patent Office was conducted. The Patent Office issued an Advisory Action maintaining the rejections. A Notice of Appeal was filed on November 11, 2016 and an Appeal Brief was filed on January 23, 2017. An Examiner's Answer was mailed on March 29, 2017. Finjan's Reply Brief and Request for Oral Hearing were filed on May 30, 2017. Oral Hearing was held December 12, 2017. On July 2, 2018 the Patent Trial and Appeal Board affirmed the Examiner in a 2-1 decision. A Notice of Appeal to the Federal Circuit was filed on September 4, 2018 (Case No. 18-2354). On December 21, 2018, Finjan filed its opening brief; on February 13, 2019, the appellee filed its responsive brief; and on March 20, 2019, Finjan filed its reply brief. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in rebutting the patentability challenge before the USPTO.

***Inter Partes* Review Proceedings**

As defined by the USPTO, *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") is a trial proceeding conducted at the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB or Board) to review the patentability of one or more claims in a patent only on a ground that could be raised under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 or 103, and only on the basis of prior art consisting of patents or printed publications. For first-inventor-to-file patents, the IPR process begins with a third party (a person who is not the owner of the patent) filing a petition after the later of either: (1) nine months after the grant of the patent or issuance of a reissue patent; or (2) if a post grant review is instituted, the termination of the post grant review. These deadlines do not apply to first-to-invent patents. The patent owner may file a preliminary response to the petition ("POPR"). An IPR may be instituted upon a showing that there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least one claim challenged. If the proceeding is instituted and not dismissed, a final determination by the Board will be issued within one year (extendable for good cause by six months). The procedure for conducting IPR took effect on September 16, 2012, and applies to any patent issued before, on, or after September 16, 2012.

U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.)

On July 3, 2015, April 19, 2016, and May 26, 2016, Symantec Corporation filed three (3) separate Petitions for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154 (IPR2015-01547; IPR2016-00919; IPR2016-01071) and moved to join the Petition for IPR filed by Palo Alto Networks (IPR2016-00151). Finjan filed a POPR to the Petition in IPR2015-01547 on October 19, 2015. The PTAB denied Symantec's Petition to institute IPR proceedings in IPR2015-01547 on January 14, 2016. On February 16, 2016, Symantec filed a Request for Rehearing with respect to IPR2015-01547, and on February 25, 2016, the PTAB denied Symantec's Request for Rehearing. With respect to IPR2016-00919 and IPR2016-01071, the PTAB granted Symantec's motions for joinder on September 8, 2016. On March 15, 2017, the PTAB issued a Final Written Decision maintaining the validity of the instituted claims in both IPR2016-00919 and IPR2016-01071. Palo Alto Networks and Symantec Corp. filed Notices of Appeals for IPR2016-00151, IPR2015-01979, IPR2016-00919, and IPR2016-01071 to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on July 19, 2017 (Case Nos. 17-2315 and 17-2314). On July 24, 2017, the Federal Circuit consolidated the two appeals. On October 30, 2017, Palo Alto Networks and Symantec Corp. filed their Opening Appellant Brief. Finjan's Responsive Brief was filed on December 20, 2017. Palo Alto Networks and Symantec Corp.'s Reply Brief was filed on January 25, 2018. On March 7, 2018, Symantec filed a motion to withdraw from appeal numbers 17-2314 and 17-2315. On March 13, 2018, the Federal Circuit granted Symantec's motion to withdraw. Oral argument was heard on June 6, 2018. On November 19, 2018, the Federal Circuit upheld the PTAB's decision in IPR2015-01979 and vacated the PTAB's decision in IPR2016-00151 and remanded the proceeding to be consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *SAS Institute*. On January 23, 2019, the PTAB modified its institution decision to include review of dependent claims 9 and 12. Petitioner's Institution Opening Brief was filed on February 13, 2019, Patent Owner's Response was filed on March 6, 2019, Petitioner's Reply was filed on March 13, 2019, and Patent Owner's Sur-reply was filed on March 20, 2019. Oral argument was heard on March 26, 2019. On May 15, 2019, the PTAB issued its Final Written Decision on Remand maintaining the validity of claims 1-12. On July 17, 2019, Palo Alto Networks filed a Notice of Appeal for IPR2016-00151 to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Case No. 19-2151).

U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.)

On September 10, 2015, Symantec filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 (IPR2015-01892). Finjan filed a POPR to the Petition on December 28, 2015. On March 18, 2016, the PTAB granted Symantec's Petition to institute the IPR proceeding on claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 10, 11, 14, and 15. On April 1, 2016, Finjan filed a Request for Rehearing. The PTAB denied the Request for Rehearing on May 23, 2016. On March 15, 2017, the PTAB issued a Final Written Decision maintaining the validity of claims 5, 10, 11, 14, and 15 and invalidating claims 1, 2, and 6. Symantec filed a Notice of Appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on May 16, 2017, and on May 18, 2017, Finjan filed its Notice of Appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Case Nos. 17-2034 and 17-2047). Symantec and Blue Coat filed their Opening Appellant Brief on August 25, 2017. The Federal Circuit consolidated Case No. 17-2543 filed by Palo Alto Networks and Blue Coat Systems with Case No. 17-2034. Palo Alto Networks and Blue Coat Systems filed their Opening Appellant Brief on December 29, 2017. Finjan filed its Cross-Appellant Principal and Response Brief on February 7, 2018. On March 8, 2018, Symantec and Blue Coat filed a Motion to Withdraw from appeal numbers 17-2034, 17-2047, 17-2543 and 17-2623. On March 13, 2018, the Federal Circuit granted Symantec and Blue Coat's Motion to Withdraw and deconsolidated the appeal. On April 12, 2018, the Patent Office intervened in the appeal. Palo Alto Networks filed its Reply Brief on April 18, 2018. The Patent Office's Reply Brief was filed on May 22, 2018, and Finjan's Reply Brief was filed on June 5, 2018. Oral argument was heard on December 6, 2018. On July 2, 2019, the Federal Circuit issued an Opinion confirming the Final Written Decision of the PTAB maintaining the validity of claims 10, 11, and 15 and invalidating claims 1, 2, and 6.

U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.)

On September 25, 2015 and November 5, 2015, Palo Alto Networks Inc. filed two (2) separate Petitions for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154 (IPR2015-01979; IPR2016-00151) and a Motion for Joinder to Symantec's Petition for IPR (IPR2015-01547). Finjan filed a POPR to the first Petition in IPR2015-01979 on December 29, 2015 and the PTAB granted institution of IPR proceedings on March 21, 2016. On April 5, 2016, Finjan filed a Partial Request for Rehearing, and on April 19, 2016, the PTAB denied Finjan's Partial Request for Rehearing. On July 12, 2016, Finjan submitted a Patent Owner Response to the Petition. With respect to IPR2016-00151, Finjan filed a POPR on February 17, 2016, and on April 20, 2016, the PTAB instituted trial on claims 1-8, 10, and 11, denied institution on the remaining claims and denied Palo Alto Network's Motion for Joinder. On May 4, 2016, Finjan filed a Partial Request for Rehearing, and on June 2, 2016, the PTAB denied Finjan's Request for Rehearing. On June 16, 2016, the parties filed a Joint Notice to Amend the Scheduling Order. On August 31, 2016, Finjan filed its Patent Owner Response to Palo Alto Network's Petition. An oral hearing was held for IPR2016-00151 on January 24, 2017 and on March 15, 2017, the PTAB issued a Final Written Decision maintaining the validity of all instituted claims. An oral hearing was held for IPR2015-01979 on December 15, 2016 and on March 15, 2017, the PTAB issued a Final Written Decision maintaining the validity of all instituted claims. On April 14, 2017, Palo Alto Networks filed a Request for Rehearing. On May 19, 2017, the PTAB denied Palo Alto Networks' Request for Rehearing. Palo Alto Networks and Symantec Corp. filed Notice of Appeals for IPR2016-00151, IPR2015-01979, IPR2016-00919, and IPR2016-01071 to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on July 19, 2017 (Case Nos. 17-2315 and 17-2314). On July 24, 2017, the Federal Circuit consolidated the two appeals. On October 30, 2017, Palo Alto Networks and Symantec Corp. filed their Opening Appellant Brief. Finjan's Responsive Brief was filed on December

20, 2017. Palo Alto Networks and Symantec Corp.'s Reply Brief was filed on January 25, 2018. On March 8, 2018, Symantec filed a Motion to Withdraw from appeal numbers 17-2314 and 17- 2315. On March 13, 2018, the Federal Circuit granted Symantec's Motion to Withdraw. Oral argument was heard on June 6, 2018. On November 19, 2018, the Federal Circuit upheld the PTAB's decision in IPR2015-01979 and vacated the PTAB's decision in IPR2016-00151 and remanded the proceeding to be consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *SAS Institute*. On January 23, 2019, the PTAB modified its institution decision to include review of dependent claims 9 and 12. Petitioner's Institution Opening Brief was filed on February 13, 2019, Patent Owner's Response was filed on March 6, 2019, Petitioner's Reply was filed on March 13, 2019, and Patent Owner's Sur-reply was filed on March 20, 2019. Oral argument was heard on March 26, 2019. On May 15, 2019, the PTAB issued its Final Written Decision on Remand maintaining the validity of claims 1-12. On July 17, 2019, Palo Alto Networks filed a Notice of Appeal for IPR2016-00151 to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Case No. 19-2151).

U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.)

On November 6, 2015, Palo Alto Networks Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 (IPR2016-00159). Finjan filed a POPR to the Petition on February 17, 2016. On May 13, 2016, the PTAB granted institution of IPR. On May 27, 2016, Finjan filed a Request for Rehearing, and on June 23, 2016 the PTAB denied Finjan's Request for Rehearing. On June 27, 2016, the parties filed a Joint Notice to Amend the Scheduling Order. On August 12, 2016, Finjan filed its Patent Owner Response to Palo Alto Network's Petition. An oral hearing was held on February 16, 2017. On April 11, 2017, the PTAB issued a Final Written Decision stating that claims 3 - 5 and 10 - 15 have not been shown to be unpatentable, and that claims 1, 2, and 6 have been shown to be unpatentable. Finjan filed a Request for Rehearing on May 11, 2017, and on July 17, 2017, the PTAB denied Finjan's request. Palo Alto Networks and Blue Coat Systems LLC filed a Notice of Appeal for IPR2016-00159 and IPR2016-01174 to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on September 14, 2017, and on September 28, 2017, Finjan filed its Notice of Appeal (Case No. 17-2543). The Federal Circuit consolidated the appeal with Case No. 17-2034. Palo Alto Networks and Blue Coat Systems filed their Opening Appellant Brief on December 29, 2017. Finjan filed its Cross-Appellant Principal and Response Brief on February 7, 2018. On March 8, 2018, Symantec and Blue Coat filed a Motion to Withdraw from appeal numbers 17-2543 and 17-2623. On March 13, 2018, the Federal Circuit granted Symantec and Blue Coat's Motion to Withdraw and deconsolidated the appeal. On April 12, 2018, the Patent Office intervened in the appeal. Palo Alto Networks filed its Reply Brief on April 18, 2018. The Patent Office's Reply Brief was filed on May 22, 2018, and Finjan's Reply Brief was filed on June 5, 2018. Oral argument was heard on December 6, 2018. On July 2, 2019, the Federal Circuit issued an Opinion confirming the Final Written Decision of the PTAB maintaining the validity of claims 10, 11, and 15 and invalidating claims 1, 2, and 6.

U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.)

On April 14, 2016 and on June 10, 2016, Blue Coat Systems, Inc. filed two Petitions for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 (IPR2016-00890; IPR2016-01174) and a Motion for Joinder to Symantec Corp.'s Petition for IPR (IPR2015-01892) and Palo Alto Networks, Inc.'s Petition for IPR (IPR2016-00159). The PTAB granted Blue Coat's Motions for Joinder. On March 15, 2017, the PTAB issued a Final Written Decision maintaining the validity of claims 5, 10, 11, 14, and 15 and invalidating claims 1, 2, and 6 in IPR2015-01892. Palo Alto Networks and Blue Coat Systems LLC filed a Notice of Appeal for IPR2016-00159 and IPR2016-01174 to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on September 14, 2017, and on September 28, 2017, Finjan filed its Notice of Appeal (Case No. 17-2543). The Federal Circuit consolidated the appeal with Case No. 17-2034. Palo Alto Networks and Blue Coat Systems filed their Opening Appellant Brief on December 29, 2017. Finjan filed its Cross-Appellant Principal and Response Brief on February 7, 2018. On March 8, 2018, Symantec and Blue Coat filed a Motion to Withdraw from appeal numbers 17-2543 and 17-2623. On March 13, 2018, the Federal Circuit granted Symantec and Blue Coat's Motion to Withdraw and deconsolidated the appeal. On April 12, 2018, the Patent Office intervened in the appeal. Palo Alto Networks filed its Reply Brief on April 18, 2018. The Patent Office's Reply Brief was filed on May 22, 2018, and Finjan's Reply Brief was filed on June 5, 2018. Oral argument was heard on December 6, 2018. On July 2, 2019, the Federal Circuit issued an Opinion confirming the Final Written Decision of the PTAB maintaining the validity of claims 10, 11, and 15 and invalidating claims 1, 2, and 6.

U.S Patent No. 7,975,305 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.)

On July 4, 2017, ESET, LLC and ESET SPOL S.R.O. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,975,305 (IPR2017-01738). Finjan filed its POPR on November 3, 2017. On January 31, 2018, the PTAB instituted IPR on claims 1-25. Finjan's Patent Owner Response was filed on August 21, 2018 and Petitioner's Reply was filed on November 5, 2018. Oral argument was heard on December 3, 2018. On January 24, 2019, the PTAB issued a Final Written Decision maintaining the validity of all instituted claims. On March 25, 2019, Petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Case No. 19-1716).

U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.)

On December 22, 2017, Cisco Systems, Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 (IPR2018-00391). Finjan's POPR was filed on March 28, 2018. On June 5, 2018, the PTAB instituted IPR on claims 1, 4, 8, and 11-14. Finjan's Patent Owner Response was filed on September 10, 2018, and Petitioner's Reply was filed on December 10, 2018, and Finjan's Sur-Reply was filed on January 30, 2019. Oral argument was heard on March 6, 2019. On May 23, 2019, the PTAB issued its Final Written

Decision maintaining the validity of claim 14 and invalidating claims 1-4, 8, and 11-13. Finjan filed a Notice of Appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on June 27, 2019, and Cisco filed a Notice of Cross Appeal on July 1, 2019 (Case No. 19-2074).

U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.)

On October 2, 2018, Juniper Networks, Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844 (IPR2019-00026). Finjan's POPR was filed on January 11, 2019. On April 9, 2019, the PTAB instituted IPR on claims 1, 15, and 41. Finjan's Patent Owner Response was filed on July 2, 2019, Petitioner's Reply is due September 24, 2019, and Finjan's Sur-Reply is due on November 5, 2019. Oral argument is scheduled for January 10, 2020.

U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.)

On October 3, 2018, Juniper Networks, Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154 (IPR2019-00031). Finjan's POPR was filed on January 11, 2019. On March 25, 2019, the PTAB denied institution of IPR.

U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.)

On October 10, 2018, Juniper Networks, Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 (IPR2019-00060). Finjan's POPR was filed on February 7, 2019. On April 29, 2019, the PTAB denied institution of IPR. On May 23, 2019, Juniper filed a Request for Rehearing. The PTAB denied the Request for Rehearing on July 16, 2019.

U.S. Patent No. 7,418,731 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.)

On July 26, 2019, Juniper Networks, Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,418,731 (IPR2019-01403).

U.S. Patent No. 7,613,926 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.)

On October 11, 2018, Juniper Networks, Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,613,926 (IPR2019-00073). Finjan's POPR was filed on February 7, 2019. On May 1, 2019, the PTAB denied institution of IPR.

Except for the foregoing disclosures, Finjan is not presently aware of any other material pending legal proceedings, to which Finjan or any of its subsidiaries are a party or of which any of its property is the subject.

Litigation, including patent litigation, is inherently subject to uncertainties. As such, there can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in litigating and/or settling any of these claims.

NOTE 10 - INCOME TAX

The Company had a tax benefit of \$0.8 million and \$3.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2019, respectively and is comprised of a federal tax benefit of \$0.6 million and \$2.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30 2019, respectively and a state tax benefit of \$0.2 million and \$0.8 million, for the three and six months ended June 30 2019, respectively. The computed effective tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2019, is approximately 28.00%. The Company had a tax expense of \$1.9 million and \$13.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018, comprised primarily of federal and state tax expense. The computed effective tax rate was approximately 26.82%.

Item 2. Management’s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations

The following discussion includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, about Finjan Holdings, Inc., (the “Company” or “Finjan Holdings”), financial condition and results of operations, including discussions about management’s expectations for the business. These include statements regarding our expectations, intentions, beliefs and projections about our future results, performance, prospects and opportunities. These statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts or by the use of words such as “anticipate,” “believe,” “could,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “plan,” “project,” “potential,” “should,” “will,” “will be,” “would,” and the negative of these terms and similar expressions, but this is not an exclusive way of identifying such statements. Readers are cautioned that forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. Our actual results, performance and achievements may differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements contained in this report as a result of various risks, uncertainties and other factors. Important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from our expectations include, without limitation, our ability to execute our business plan, the outcome of pending or future enforcement actions, our ability to expand our technology portfolio, the enforceability of our patents, the continued use of our technologies in the market, the development of products and services for the consumer and enterprise market, the sufficiency of our existing cash and investments to meet our cash needs for at least the next 12 months, the development or continuation of a liquid trading market for our securities, regulatory developments and other factors described under Item 1A. “Risk Factors,” as set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 and any subsequent quarterly or current reports. The following discussion should also be read in conjunction with the audited and unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, as set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 and any subsequent quarterly or current reports, including this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

The Company will continue to file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). Forward-looking statements speak only as of the dates specified in such filings. Except as expressly required under federal securities laws and the rules and regulations of the SEC, we do not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances arising after any such date, whether as a result of new information or future events or otherwise. You should not place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements included in this report or that may be made elsewhere from time to time by us, or on our behalf. All forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified by these cautionary statements.

Overview

We operate a cybersecurity business, focused on licensing and enforcement, developing mobile security applications, and investing in emerging cybersecurity technologies and intellectual property.

Operations

Finjan Holdings, Inc. (the "Company" or "Finjan Holdings") is a pioneer in cybersecurity focused in three business lines: intellectual property licensing and enforcement, mobile security application development, and investing in cybersecurity technologies and intellectual property. Licensing and enforcement of the Company's cybersecurity patent portfolio is operated by its wholly-owned subsidiaries Finjan, Inc. ("Finjan") and Finjan Blue, Inc. ("Finjan Blue"). The Company's mobile security business is operated through its wholly-owned subsidiary Finjan Mobile, Inc. ("Finjan Mobile").

Through Finjan, we own a portfolio of patents, focused on software and hardware technologies that proactively detect malicious code and thereby protect end users from identity and data theft, spyware, malware, phishing, trojans and other web and network threats. Founded in 1997, Finjan developed and patented technologies that are capable of detecting previously unknown and emerging threats on a real-time, behavior-based, basis, in contrast to signature-based methods of intercepting only known threats to computers. The older signature-based methods, were standard in the web and network security industry during the 1990s. As the web and endpoint security industries - known as cybersecurity - have transitioned to behavior-based detection of malicious code, we believe that our patented technologies continue to be widely used by third parties in a number of market segments. We intend to maximize the economic benefits of our technologies through further licensing and to broaden our technologies and patent holdings through acquisitions and strategic partnerships.

As a core element of our continued patent licensing and enforcement business, Finjan monitors a number of markets and assesses and observes the adoption of our patented technologies in these markets. Our management team, in conjunction with the internal team, external legal, technical, and financial experts concludes on a case-by-case basis whether or not they believe that Finjan's patented technologies are being used. Based on these observations, we continue to believe our patented technologies are highly relevant in specific cybersecurity technology areas including, but not limited to, endpoint/cloud software, web gateway/internet infrastructure, and networking equipment markets. From that basis, the Company pursues unlicensed entities through licensing, assertion of claims or both to preserve the value of our portfolio in general. This also reinforces the value to existing licensees of the Finjan patent portfolio.

Since the sale of its hardware and software operations in 2009, Finjan's primary source of income and related cash flows has been the enforcement of its patent rights against unauthorized use and income derived from intellectual property licenses granted to third parties for the use of patented technologies that are owned by Finjan.

Finjan Blue was founded to support our development and licensing efforts of the IBM Security Patents obtained by Finjan Blue through the August 2017 Patent Assignment and Support Agreement and the May 2018 Patent Assignment Agreement with IBM. The Agreements, the terms of which are confidential, includes pathways for Finjan and IBM to consider development efforts in the future and provides for the sharing of pertinent institutional knowledge and resources by IBM to Finjan Blue.

Finjan Mobile was founded to ensure that consumer mobile devices are protected against spies, phishing and malware attacks. Given the uptrend in mobile device usage coupled with the amount of transient corporate data, the average mobile user presents and represents higher risks of data loss through hacking. The mobile device has become so convenient that consumers often ignore online security and download apps and blindly agree to terms of service, purchase products, pay bills, connect to free Wi-Fi, and do not think twice about personal data and photos stored on their devices. We started research and development of security products for mobile devices which benefit from technologies developed and patented by Finjan but also include the invention of new mobile technologies that will help expand our existing patent portfolio. Products currently available include our Finjan Mobile Secure Browser and a Virtual Private Network (VPN), recently re-branded as InvinciBull, which can be used within the Finjan Browser or separately to encrypt data and keep consumers' data secure.

As of June 30, 2019, we had 10 employees. We intend to hire or engage additional full-time professionals, employees, and/or consultants in alignment with our growth strategy. Although the market is highly competitive for attracting and retaining highly qualified professionals in our industry, we continue our endeavor to find such candidates for our Company. Our management team and additional personnel that we may hire in the future will be primarily responsible for executing and implementing our licensing and enforcement strategy, including analyzing licensing and enforcement opportunities, making tactical decisions related to our strategy, identifying new applications for our existing cybersecurity technologies and pursuing opportunities to invest in new technologies through strategic partnerships and acquisitions.

Industry Trends and Outlook

Cybersecurity is not just another technology but a critical business issue that intersects government, corporations and individual citizens. We have recently seen a number of devastating cybersecurity breaches targeting high profile government offices and corporations. The full extent of the cost and damage associated with these attacks are often hard to quantify. Nonetheless, these attacks are expected to continue, along with their associated and sometimes unprecedented costs. In many cases, it is not just the government or corporation that suffers losses or damages but their clients and customers, who can also fall victim by the breach of their personal and otherwise confidential data. These issues have forced both government and corporations to take a serious look at their vulnerabilities, which will lead to increased spending on cybersecurity infrastructure, including hardware and software.

Given our experience of over 20 years in the cybersecurity market we have had the benefit of actively participating in the progression on how technology has moved to meet the new threats and demands. We believe this puts us in a unique position to make observations and determine the best course of action in order to make investments in new developing technologies. There is still a limited appreciation for how much personal data is being pushed out over the internet for anyone to capture and unlike desktops and laptop computers, mobile devices do not have the same kind of access to security. We believe this represents a unique opportunity for Finjan to develop products for consumer mobile devices that were once only available to our enterprise customers. As such, we are building upon our current patented technology and migrating it into the mobile platform so consumers can have greater control of their security and personal information.

We believe that there are some proponents of patent law reform, largely made up of an individual or coalitions of technology corporations that continue to seek statutory limitations on how companies can enforce their patents. In an effort to ensure fair and balanced protections for all good faith patent owners, our executives have dedicated time and resources to actively educate our lawmakers and existing and prospective stakeholders on how certain proposed reforms could harm individual inventors, startups, small companies, the licensing industry and therefore, U.S. innovation and the economy as a whole.

Further, since the enactment of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act ("AIA") on September 16, 2011, several aspects of the patent law have been interpreted by the courts, including what constitutes patentable subject matter, inducement of infringement, and (attorney) fee-shifting to the non-prevailing party in the context of litigation, among other issues. Moreover, under AIA, patents previously granted by the USPTO may be reviewed through post-patent grant proceedings such as reexamination or inter partes review (IPR). It is becoming a trend, if not a practice, for accused infringers to petition for reexaminations or IPRs of asserted patents as these proceedings may give the petitioner "two bites at the apple." The outcome of the proceedings can range from decisions favorable to the patent holder, favorable to both parties, or favorable to the petitioner. If the outcome is the latter, the value of the challenged patent can be materially reduced or extinguished. Thus, patent rights, including enforcement of such rights against unauthorized use is inherently subject to uncertainties.

Future Growth Strategy

Our mission, for the foreseeable future, is to build a diversified company benefiting from historical investments in technology and patents while expanding into new product and service offerings. We believe our patented technologies continue to hold significant value and we intend to vigorously protect our investment, the value of our existing licensees' investments, and the value that technology and intellectual property represents for our shareholders. We are pursuing and will continue to pursue our growth through the following strategies:

- *Expand our IP Assets through Acquisitions and Strategic Partnerships* -We intend to acquire and develop new patents, technologies or other business assets or companies and invest in intellectual property through strategic partnerships, acquisitions of technology-focused companies, IP portfolios or other assets and other initiatives. We aim to identify relevant security technologies and patents that have been, or are anticipated to be, widely adopted by third parties in connection with the manufacture or sale of products and services, and to which we can monetize (i.e., licensing or litigation) and other expertise. We may also broaden our technology and patent holdings by working with inventors and universities, acquiring technology companies, investing in research laboratories, start-ups, and by creating strategic partnerships with companies, large and small, seeking to effectively and efficiently monetize their technology and patent assets. Our experience with monetizing both technologies and patents may be considered valuable by potential acquisition candidates and strategic partners who may lack resources or know-how to effectively and efficiently generate a return for those investments.

Through Finjan Blue, we entered into a Patent Assignment and Support Agreement with International Business Machines Corporation, a New York corporation ("IBM"), effective August 24, 2017. Pursuant to the Patent Assignment Agreement, Finjan Blue acquired 41 select issued and pending IBM patents in the security sector in exchange for \$8.5 million cash, payable as follows: (i) \$2.0 million upon execution of the Patent Assignment

Agreement and (ii) \$6.5 million over the subsequent four years, final payment due August 24, 2021. IBM will support Finjan Blue in its development and licensing of the IBM Security Patents and provide assistance for such efforts as needed for the term of the Agreement and Finjan Blue will reimburse IBM for reasonable time and out of pocket costs for such assistance, however IBM will not receive further proceeds from such efforts. IBM has reservation of rights with respect to the IBM Security Patents for its current licensees and open source initiatives. Finjan Blue and IBM also agreed to explore further development and licensing opportunities.

The relationship with IBM was further expanded on May 15, 2018 with a second Patent Assignment and Support Agreement (the “May 2018 Patent Assignment Agreement”). Pursuant to the May 2018 Patent Assignment Agreement, Finjan Blue acquired 56 select issued and pending IBM patents in the security sector. The terms of the May 2018 Patent Assignment Agreement are confidential.

On June 29, 2018, the Company including its wholly-owned subsidiaries, entered into a Confidential Patent License Agreement (the “June License Agreement”) with Trend Micro Incorporated (K.K.), a Japanese corporation (“Trend Micro Japan”) and Trend Micro, Inc., a California corporation (“Trend Micro U.S. and collectively with Trend Micro Japan, the “Trend Micro Parties”). The June License Agreement provides that the Trend Micro Parties will obtain a license to, among others, the Finjan patents and pay the Finjan parties \$13.4 million in cash which Finjan received June 29, 2018. Further, upon acquisition by the Trend Micro Parties of certain entities, the Trend Micro Parties will pay additional license fees to Finjan, unless otherwise mutually agreed to by the Company and the Trend Micro Parties. Further, the June License Agreement has additional provisions relating to certain unlicensed products of any company that acquires a Trend Micro Party, in which case additional license fees may apply. The parties also entered into related agreements with respect to their respective patents, including the transfer of 18 select issued security-related patent assets from the Trend Micro Parties to the Finjan Parties. The remaining terms of the June License Agreement are confidential.

- *Develop and Expand Existing Patent Portfolio* - We have obtained and continue our efforts to obtain new patents relating to security technologies through research and development and/or acquisition in the cybersecurity space.
- *Continue to Demonstrate Best Practices in Pursuing Licensing Relationships and Enforcing our Patent Rights* -In March 2014, we adopted Best Practices to demonstrate our commitment to ethical, transparent and consistent business practices for intellectual property licensing. We called upon and continue to promote industry-wide adoption of a set of best practices through leadership organizations such as the Licensing Executive Society (LES) that support technological advancements, investments in innovation, and continued job creation while protected by a robust patent system. In February of 2017, the American National Standards Institute or ANSI had approved LES' application to receive accreditation to become a Standards Development Organization or SDO. With this new endorsement and governance from ANSI, Finjan is moving swiftly to build industry consensus for IP and patent related matters in a number of disciplines. We intend to continue pursuing a proactive campaign that adheres to our best practices guidelines while rigorously protecting our intellectual property rights. We have entered into preliminary discussions with numerous potential licensees in accordance with these Best Practices but acknowledge that it takes many discussions and many months for preliminary discussions to culminate in a license agreement, if at all. While it is our preference to resolve our patent-related disputes through amicable business solutions, protecting the value of our patented technology is paramount.
- *Invest in Internal Research & Development through Finjan Mobile* -We continue to pursue internal research and development of security technologies that both relate to Finjan's existing patented inventions as well as new concepts to meet an ever-expanding market need. Since we do not yet have sufficient internal personnel to engage in large-scale research and development, we currently operate this business with limited internal staff focused on strategy and market development while software development is completed under contract with external developers. Products currently available include our Finjan Mobile Secure Browser and a Virtual Private Network (VPN), recently re-branded as InvinciBull, which can be used within the Finjan Browser or separately to encrypt data and keep consumers' data secure.

Finjan Mobile released InvinciBull VPN in September of 2018. The new and improved VPN is available for use on Apple and Android mobile platforms and Mac and Windows desktop applications. The InvinciBull VPN sits in the VitalSecurity family of products and builds upon the incorporation of Finjan, Inc.'s, core security patented technology.

The Company continues to explore inorganic growth and acquisition opportunities along with additional marketing efforts to complement the vision for Finjan Mobile.

Although we currently pursue growth initiatives through the above strategies, unforeseen market and industry conditions and new developments may necessitate changes in our strategies. We intend to remain resilient, flexible, and open to new opportunities that benefit our shareholders.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See "Note 1 - Nature of operations and summary of significant accounting policies, - Recent adopted accounting pronouncements and Recently issued accounting pronouncements not yet adopted "

Comparability to Future Results

We have set forth below selected factors that we believe have had, or can be expected to have, a significant effect on the comparability of our recent or future results. In addition to the factors described below, please see Item 1A. "Risk Factors" for additional factors that may affect our operating results.

Fluctuations of Income, Expenses and Cash Flows Related to Licensing and Enforcement

Our licenses and judgments may not be recurring and are not necessarily indicative of the income or cash flows that we expect to generate in the future from our existing technology portfolio or otherwise. We expect income, expenses and cash flows related to patent enforcement to be unpredictable and to fluctuate significantly from period to period. A number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, may affect the timing and amount of our income and cash flows related to patent licensing and enforcement actions, including, but not limited to, trial dates, the strength of our claims and likelihood of achieving an acceptable license on settlement, the timing and nature of any appeals and our ability to collect on any favorable judgments. Significant fluctuations in our income and cash flows may make our business difficult to manage and adversely affect our business and operating results. We do not recognize income from our licensing and enforcement actions until the terms are fixed and determinable or litigation is finalized (whether resolved at trial or in a settlement).

Our expenses, principally with respect to litigation costs, may also vary significantly from period to period depending upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, whether fees of outside legal counsel are paid on an hourly, contingent or other basis, the timing of depositions, discovery and other elements of litigation, costs of expert witnesses and other consultants, and other costs incurred in support of enforcement actions.

As a result of the factors described above and other known and unknown risks affecting our business, our historical operating performance may not be indicative of our future results.

Stock-Based and Other Executive Compensation

Our Board of Directors has adopted the Finjan Holdings Amended and Restated 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan ("Restated 2014 Plan"), which our shareholders approved at our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders on July 10, 2014, pursuant to which 2,196,836 shares of common stock are authorized for issuance and on June 21, 2017, at our 2017 annual meeting of stockholders, the Company's shareholders approved (i) an increase of 1,000,000 shares to the Finjan Holdings, Inc. Restated 2014 Plan and (ii) the addition of an "evergreen" feature which provides for the annual replenishment of shares to the Restated 2014 Plan share reserve without stockholder approval, which represented an additional 1,385,366 shares as of January 1, 2018 and 1,378,432 shares as of January 1, 2019 (equal to 5.0% of our outstanding shares of Common Stock as of the end of our immediately preceding fiscal year). A total of 306,541 restricted stock units and 2,537,479 options remain outstanding as of June 30, 2019, under the Restated 2014 Plan. We expect that future equity-based awards will continue to be made under the Restated 2014 Plan to our directors, officers and other employees and consultants. As a result, to the extent relevant, we may incur non-cash, stock-based compensation expenses in future periods that may not be comparable to past periods. As of June 30, 2019, we have 2,859,590 shares available for issuance under the 2014 Plan.

We expect to increase the number of employees and consultants to help execute our strategy in the cybersecurity business and support our public company functions. Accordingly, we will continue to incur compensation expenses in future periods that we did not incur during the historical periods presented in our financial statements.

Results of Operations

Three and six months ended June 30, 2019 compared with three and six months ended June 30, 2018

	For Three Months ended June 30,				For Six Months ended June 30,			
	2019	2018	Change	%	2019	2018	Change	%
	(In millions, except percentages)				(In millions, except percentages)			
Revenue	\$ 7.3	\$ 17.3	\$ (10.0)	(58)%	\$ 7.3	\$ 82.3	\$ (75.0)	(91)%
Cost of revenues	1.0	1.6	(0.6)	(38)%	1.0	14.6	(13.6)	(93)%
Gross profit	6.3	15.7	(9.4)	(60)%	6.3	67.7	(61.4)	(91)%
Gross Margin	86%	91%			86%	82%		
Operating expenses:								
Research and development	0.5	0.5	—	—%	1.0	1.0	—	—%
Selling, general and administrative⁽¹⁾	8.6	5.9	2.7	46%	16.6	14.2	2.4	17%
Total operating expenses	9.1	6.4	2.7	42%	17.6	15.2	2.4	16%
Income (loss) from operations	(2.8)	9.3	(12.1)	(130)%	(11.3)	52.5	(63.8)	(122)%
Other income (expense)								
Change in fair value of warrant liability	—	(0.3)	0.3	(100)%	—	(2.4)	2.4	(100)%
Interest and other income (expense), net	0.1	(0.1)	0.2	(200)%	0.2	(0.5)	0.7	(140)%
Income (loss) before income taxes	(2.7)	8.9	(11.6)	(130)%	(11.1)	49.6	(60.7)	(122)%
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(0.8)	1.9	(2.7)	(142)%	(3.1)	13.4	(16.5)	(123)%
Net income (loss)	\$ (1.9)	\$ 7.0	\$ (8.9)	(127)%	\$ (8.0)	\$ 36.2	\$ (44.2)	(122)%
⁽¹⁾ Includes stock based compensation	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.4	\$ (0.1)	(25)%	\$ 0.5	\$ 0.8	\$ (0.3)	(38)%

Revenue is derived from multiple license agreements that we entered into with third-parties following negotiations pursuant to our licensing and enforcement program. Revenue is determined by the timing of licensing agreements and enforcement programs and can vary significantly period to period.

Costs of revenues includes legal expense directly associated with our licensing and enforcement programs and vary as a percentage of revenues, as does gross margin, due to the timing of legal expense paid on settlement.

Research and development expenses ("R&D") are primarily from our Finjan Mobile security business. Our focus on R&D consisted primarily of professional services associated with the development of mobile security application products and have remained consistent for the periods presented.

Selling, general and administrative expenses ("SG&A") are largely related to litigation, personnel and amortization of the IBM patents. Litigation expenses were \$5.1 million and \$2.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2019 and 2018, an increase of \$3.0 million and \$10.2 million and \$5.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2019 and 2018, an increase of \$4.8 million. These costs are primarily due to the timing of various outstanding actions as described in "Note 9 - Litigation, claims and assessments". Personnel expenses were \$1.6 million and \$1.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2019 and 2018, a decrease of \$0.1 million and \$2.5 million and \$5.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2019 and 2018, a decrease of \$2.5 million. The 2018 six month personnel expense includes incentive bonuses that were awarded during the period.

We had a tax benefit for income taxes of \$0.8 million and \$3.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2019, compared to a provision for income taxes of \$1.9 million and \$13.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018, which was tied to the net income (loss) recognized in the periods.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

Our cash requirements are, and will continue to be, dependent upon a variety of factors. We expect to continue devoting significant capital resources to the litigations in process and any other litigation we pursue. We also expect to require significant capital resources to maintain our issued patents, prosecute our patent applications, acquire new technologies as part of our growth strategy, and attract and retain qualified personnel on a full-time basis.

In addition:

- On November 21, 2013, we made a \$5 million commitment to invest in an innovation fund through JVP to invest in early-stage cyber technology companies, of which \$1.3 million of the commitment remains unfunded as of June 30, 2019. The fund can make a call on our remaining commitment at any time. We expect to make payments to honor this commitment if and when capital calls are made by the fund. We have sufficient cash on hand to fund such obligations.
- On April 21, 2017, we entered into a \$3.9 million agreement with Avira, Inc., to provide services to support our VPN Platform effective July 1, 2017, payable over 3 years in quarterly payments of \$0.3 million. As of June 30, 2019, the Company has a \$1.2 million contractual obligation due over the next 4 quarters.
- We entered into a \$8.5 million Patent Assignment and Support Agreement with IBM effective August 24, 2017. As of June 30, 2019, the Company has a \$5.5 million obligation due over the next 3 years, with final payment due August 24, 2021.
- On July 19, 2018, we entered into a lease for our corporate headquarters office in East Palo Alto, California. Under the terms of the lease, we owe minimum lease obligations of \$3.7 million over 57 months. As of June 30, 2019, we have an outstanding obligation of \$2.5 million.

The amount and timing of cash flows from our licensing and enforcement activities are subject to uncertainties stemming primarily from uncertainties regarding the rates of adoption of our patented technologies, the success of our licensing efforts and the outcome of enforcement actions. As a result, our income and cash flows may vary significantly from period to period.

	June 30, 2019		December 31, 2018
	(in millions)		
Cash & cash equivalents	\$	26.9	\$ 32.0
Short term investments	\$	15.7	\$ 11.3
	\$	42.6	\$ 43.3

Based on current forecasts, management believes that our cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for working capital for the next 12 months from the date of filing of this quarterly report.

	Six Months ended June 30,	
	2019	2018
	(in millions)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 0.1	\$ 47.1
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (5.2)	\$ (1.6)
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ —	\$ (21.7)

Cash flows from operating activities:

Net cash provided by our operating activities of \$0.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2019, is primarily due to our net loss of \$8.0 million and \$0.2 million of amortization of discount and premium on investments, less \$1.3 million in depreciation and amortization and non-cash lease expense, \$0.5 million of stock-based compensation, and \$6.5 million of net change in operating assets and liabilities.

Net cash provided by our operating activities of \$47.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2018 is primarily due to net income of \$36.3 million, plus \$5.0 million for provision for taxes payable, \$1.8 million reduction in accounts payable, \$0.8 million in depreciation and amortization, \$0.8 million of stock-based compensation, \$2.4 million change in warrant liability and \$3.6 million change in other operating assets and liabilities.

Cash used in investing activities:

During the six months ended June 30, 2019, net cash used in investing activities of \$5.2 million was related to the \$12.6 million purchases of marketable securities, \$0.5 million was related to the purchase of additional investment in accordance with commitment to the JVP fund and \$0.4 million was related to the purchase of leasehold improvements, offset by \$8.4 million in redemptions of marketable securities.

During the six months ended June 30, 2018 cash used in investing activities of \$1.6 million was related to the purchase of additional patents from IBM of \$1.0 million and \$0.6 million in the JVP fund

Cash used in financing activities:

During the six months ended June 30, 2019, we had no financing activity.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$21.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2018, \$19.9 million primarily resulting from the redemption and retiring of both Series A-1 Preferred Stock Financing and \$2.0 million related to the share repurchase program, offset by \$0.2 million in exercise of stock options. We had no such activity during the six months ended June 30, 2019.

Significant Developments

On May 22, 2019, the Company announced that Jevan Anderson will be joining the company on June 3, 2019 as Chief Financial Officer, replacing Michael Noonan who departed to explore new pursuits. Mr. Anderson will report to Phil Hartstein, Finjan's CEO, and lead Finjan's finance and operations. Mr. Anderson, 50, has 25 years of experience in investment banking, corporate development and strategy consulting. Most recently Jevan was Senior Vice President, Corporate Advisory for Jones Lang LaSalle Incorporated ("JLL"). Prior to JLL, Jevan spent 10 years as a Managing Director and Co-Head of Technology Mergers & Acquisitions for Wells Fargo and RBC Capital Markets. Prior to his 17 year investment banking career, Jevan held roles in management consulting, strategic planning, corporate development and investor relations. Mr. Anderson holds an MBA from NYU Stern School of Business and a B.S. in Electrical Engineering from Lehigh University.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our holdings of cash and cash equivalents and short term investments. Our cash and cash equivalents and short term investments as of June 30, 2019, totaled \$42.6 million and consisted primarily of cash and money market funds with maturities of twelve months or less from the date of purchase. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest income sensitivity, which is affected by changes in the general level of the interest rates in the United States. However, because of the short-term nature of the instruments in our portfolio, a sudden change in market interest rates of 10% would not be expected to have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations. We do not have any foreign currency or other derivative financial instruments.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management evaluated, with the participation of our President and Chief Executive Officer, Philip Hartstein, and our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, Jevan Anderson, the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on our evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective, as of June 30, 2019, to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported accurately and within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and accumulated and communicated to our management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the six months ended June 30, 2019, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, have designed our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurances that the controls' objectives will be met. However, management does not expect that disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within Finjan Holdings, Inc. have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any system's design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of a system's control effectiveness into future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

See "NOTE 9 - Litigation, Claims, and Assessments" to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the factors disclosed in Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Sales of Unregistered Securities

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities during the period covered by this report.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

On May 2, 2018, the Company's board of directors authorized the repurchase of issued and outstanding shares of the Company's common stock having an aggregate value of up to \$10.0 million pursuant to a share repurchase program. The authorization did not specify an expiration date. As of June 30, 2019, the Company had a remaining authorization of \$8.0 million for future share repurchases.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

Not Applicable.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
10.1	Employment Agreement, dated June 1, 2019, between Finjan Holdings, Inc. and Jevan Anderson (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed May 22, 2019).#
10.2	Letter Agreement, dated April 29, 2019, between Finjan Holdings, Inc. and Michael Noonan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our current report on Form 8-K filed May 22, 2019).#
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
32.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002†*
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document***
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document***
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document***
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document***
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document***
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document***
#	Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
*	Filed herewith.
†	This certification is being furnished and shall not be deemed “filed” with the SEC for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference.
***	Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files in Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: August 8, 2019

Finjan Holdings, Inc.
(Registrant)

/s/ Philip Hartstein

Philip Hartstein
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Jevan Anderson

Jevan Anderson
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer)

CERTIFICATION

I, Philip Hartstein, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Finjan Holdings, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

August 8, 2019

By: _____ /s/ Philip Hartstein

Philip Hartstein
President and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Jevan Anderson, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Finjan Holdings, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

August 8, 2019

By: _____ /s/ Jevan Anderson

Jevan Anderson
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

